1		FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION						
2								
3		Washington, D.C. 20463						
4								
5		FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT						
6								
7		MURs: 7078 & 7084						
8		DATES COMPLAINTS FILED: 6/1/2016,						
9	•	6/14/2016						
10		DATES OF NOTIFICATIONS: 6/3/2016,						
11		6/6/2016, 6/15/2016						
12		DATE OF LAST RESPONSE: 8/22/2017						
13		DATE ACTIVATED: 9/20/2016						
14		EPS: 60 / TIÉR: 2						
15		EXPIRATION OF SOL: 10/16/2017 –						
16		5/8/2021						
17 18	•	ELECTION CYCLEs: 2012, 2016						
19	COMPLAINANT:	Lisa Clarkson						
20	COMI LAMAMI.	Lisa Ciaiksoli						
21	RESPONDENT(S):	Scott W. Taylor						
22	RESI ONDENT(S).	Scott Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph						
23		in his official capacity as treasurer						
24		Scott Taylor for Delegate						
25		Stephen Baggs and Systems Technology						
26		Forum, Ltd.						
27		Thomas Bates and RK Chevrolet, Buick,						
28		Subaru, Inc.						
29		 Darek Dabbs and Sera-Brynn LLC 						
30		Eric Kimble and Kimble Companies/Penn-						
31		Ohio Coal Co.						
32		Ronald Kramer and Kramer Management						
33	•	Enterprises, Inc.						
34		Shawn Kuhle and Turner Strategic						
35		Technologies						
3 <u>6</u>		Tactical Defense Solutions LLC						
37		William W. Lee, Jr. and National Research						
38		Group, LLC						
39		Bob Miller and Miller-Stephenson &						
40		Associates, P.C.						
41		David H. Mutzabaugh and ThunderCat						
42		Technology, LLC						
43		Richard D. Roberts and Norfolk Southern						
44		Corporation						
45		Eric Sisco and Virginia International						

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 20

1		Gateway, Inc.
2		Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund,
3		Inc.
4		Special Operations OPSEC Political
5		Committee
6		
7	RELEVANT STATUTE(S)	52 U.S.C. § 30101(4)
8	AND REGULATIONS:	52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)
9		52 U.S.C. § 30101(9)(A)
10	·	52 U.S.C. § 30101(17)
11		52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1)
12		52 U.S.C. § 30103
13		52 U.S.C. § 30104
14		52 U.S.C. § 30116
15		52 U.S.C. § 30118(a)
16		52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)
17		52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)
18		11 C.F.R. § 100.3(a)(1)
19		11 C.F.R. § 100.5(a)
20		11 C.F.R. § 100.52
21		11 C.F.R. § 100.111
22		11 C.F.R. § 100.113
23	•	11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a)
24		11 C.F.R. § 102.1(a)
25		11 C.F.R. § 104.20
26		11 C.F.R. § 104.3
27		11 C.F.R. § 109.10
28		11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)
29		11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d)
30		11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)
31		11 C.F.R. § 114.2
32		11 C.F.R. § 115.2
33		11 C.F.R. § 115.5
34		11 C.F.Ŗ. § 115.6
35	•	11 C.F.R. § 300.61
36		-
37	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports
38		•
39	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	Internal Revenue Service

I. INTRODUCTION

1

16

- 2 The Complaints allege that Scott Taylor ("Taylor"), Scott Taylor for Congress and John
- 3 G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"), and two non-profit entities
- 4 associated with Taylor, Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund and Special Operations
- 5 OPSEC Political Committee, violated many provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of
- 6 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations.
- 7 For the reasons set forth below, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the
- 8 allegations that the Committee used non-federal funds to host its campaign website, and that it
- 9 failed to include disclaimers, timely file its Statement of Organization, report certain
- 10 expenditures, and properly attribute an LLC contribution. We further recommend that the
- 11 Commission find no reason to believe that the Committee used state funds to send a campaign
- 12 email, or that it knowingly solicited contributions from federal contractors. We also recommend
- 13 the Commission find no reason to believe that Respondent contributors violated the ban on
- 14 federal contractor contributions. Finally, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the
- 15 allegations pertaining to both OPSEC entities.

II. FACTUAL SUMMARY

- 17 Scott Taylor was a Member of the Virginia House of Delegates, and ran for Congress in
- Virginia's Second District in 2010 and 2016. Taylor filed his 2016 Statement of Candidacy on
- 19 February 4, 2016, and designated the Committee as his principal campaign committee. John G.
- 20 Selph is the Committee's treasurer.

Taylor lost the Republican Primary in 2010, and won election to Congress in 2016.

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

1	Taylor was also the chairman of a 501(c)(4) organization, Special Operations OPSEC
2	Education Fund ("Education Fund"). ² The Education Fund was formed by former U.S. military
3	special operations veterans to express concern about the Obama administration's alleged leaks
4	for political purposes of sensitive information regarding special operations. ³ In 2012, the
5	Education Fund reported spending approximately \$500,000 for electioneering communications
6	criticizing President Obama. A related — but now defunct — entity, OPSEC Political
7	Committee, reported making \$63,350 in independent expenditures opposing President Obama's
8	2012 re-election. Neither OPSEC group reported electioneering communications or independent
9	expenditures in 2016.

• Taylor announced his 2016 federal candidacy using state legislative resources and failed to include a required disclaimer.⁵

The Complaints allege the following violations of the Act and regulations regarding

The Committee filed a Statement of Organization on February 4, 2016 — later amended on February 23, 2016 — but began soliciting funds as early as January 16, 2016, and triggered candidate status no later than January 20, 2016.⁶

Taylor's 2010 and 2016 congressional races:4

Taylor is listed as the person exercising control over the Education Fund in the group's electioneering communication filings in 2012 and 2013. The group has interchangeably referred to Taylor as both president and chairman in public communications, most recently in a March 4, 2016, Facebook post listing Taylor as OPSEC's chairman. At some point after March 4, 2016, but before July 6, 2016, Jamie Williamson appears to have succeeded Taylor as president, though it is unclear if Taylor continues to serve in a leadership position or otherwise exercises control over the Education Fund. See Kristina Wong, "Ex-special ops group blasts Clinton email decision," THE HILL, http://thehill.com/policy/defense/286711-group-representing-former-special-operators-blasts-clinton-email-decision (July 6, 2016). The group's website does not list its leadership or organizational structure.

See http://www.opsecteam.org/background.html.

The Complaint also alleges that Taylor's 2010 federal committee failed to file a number of disclosure reports after Taylor withdrew from the 2010 Congressional race. Compl. at I (June 1, 2016). The Complaint cites to nineteen notices of failure to file issued to the previous committee. We note that the Commission administratively terminated the 2010 committee in June 2015, and it is not a respondent in this matter.

⁵ Compl. at ¶¶ 1-2.

⁶ *Id.* at ¶¶ 3-5.

1	_	The Committee
2		Taylor's Facebo
2		

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20 21

22

23

- The Committee failed to report expenditures for several public events promoted on Taylor's Facebook page.⁷
- The Committee received unreported in-kind contributions from Taylor's state legislative campaign. From January through February 2016, the Committee's website used the same URL as Taylor's state legislative campaign, and the Committee reported no reimbursements to the state committee.
- The Committee reported a \$1,000 contribution from an LLC with the note "attributed equally to owners," but did not disclose the owners of the LLC.9
- The Committee solicited and accepted contributions from federal contractors.¹⁰
- The Education Fund failed to properly file electioneering reports for the period between October 16, 2012, and November 4, 2012, and the OPSEC Political Committee failed to file complete and timely disclosure reports.¹¹
- The OPSEC entities failed to register as political committees and file required disclosure reports. 12

III. ANALYSIS

A. Use of State Email and State Committee Website; Lack of Disclaimer

- The Committee denies using state letterhead or an official email account. 13
- A federal candidate, or an entity directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained or
- 25 controlled by or acting on behalf of a federal candidate, is prohibited from soliciting, receiving,
- 26 directing, transferring, or spending funds in connection with an election for federal office that are

⁷ *Id*. at ¶¶ 6-7.

Id. at $\P 8$.

⁹ *Id.* at ¶ 9.

Supplemental Compl. at II (June 14, 2016).

Id. at III (citing Commission requests to amend reports).

¹² *Id*.

¹³ Resp. at ¶ 1 (June 23, 2016).

- 1 not subject to the limits, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act. 14 Because Virginia
- 2 law permits a state committee to accept unlimited direct contributions from any individual,
- 3 corporation, union, association, or partnership, Taylor's Virginia state committee account likely
- 4 contains funds not subject to the Act's limits and prohibitions. 15 Further, Commission
- 5 regulations prohibit the transfer of funds or assets from a candidate's nonfederal campaign
- 6 committee to his or her federal committee. 16 Political committees must include disclaimers on
- 7 emails containing substantially similar messages sent to more than 500 recipients. 17
 - Although the Committee's announcement email contains a header identifying Taylor as a
- 9 State Delegate, it does not appear to be on official state letterhead, and the announcement was
- sent from a private email account. We therefore recommend that the Commission find no reason
- to believe the Committee used nonfederal funds to send the campaign announcement email.
- 12 Respondents admit, however, that Taylor's state committee incurred expenses for hosting
- 13 the federal Committee's website. 18 Those expenditures constitute impermissible in-kind
- 14 contributions. 19 The value of those contributions appears de minimis, and the Committee is no
- longer using the state committee's website.²⁰ Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission

¹⁴ 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 300.61.

See Virginia Department of Elections, Summary of Laws and Policies: Candidate Campaign Committees (Sept. 14, 2015) at 17; 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a), 30118(a).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 110.3(d).

¹⁷ Id. § 110.11(a).

¹⁸ Resp. at ¶ 8.

The Committee did not disclose any in-kind contributions for website hosting in subsequent reports. The Commission has previously found that use of a candidate's state funds for federal campaign activity is impermissible. See MUR 6267 (Paton, et al.); MUR 5426 (Shultz, et al.).

A review of the website as of October 2016 reveals that the domain hosted by the state committee, http://scottaylorforva.com/, is no longer available, and that the Committee website is now http://scottaylor.us/. The new site includes a disclaimer stating that the website is paid for by the federal Committee.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 7 of 20

- dismiss this allegation as to Scott Taylor for Delegate and the Committee, and send a cautionary
- 2 letter to the Committee.²¹
- Respondents also admit that the announcement email lacked a disclaimer, but claim that
- 4 the omission was inadvertent and quickly corrected.²² It is likely that Taylor's campaign
- 5 announcement was sent to more than 500 recipients. However, because the Response represents
- 6 that the mistake was inadvertent and promptly corrected, we recommend that the Commission
- 7 exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this allegation.²³

B. Failure to Timely File and Amend Statement of Organization

Respondents argue the Committee timely mailed the Statement of Organization, and promptly amended it to include Taylor's name.²⁴ Under 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2), an individual becomes a candidate when the individual seeks nomination for, or election to, federal office and:

(a) such individual receives contributions or makes expenditures in excess of \$5,000, or (b) such individual gives his or her consent to another person to receive contributions or make expenditures on behalf of such individual, and if such person has received contributions or has made expenditures in excess of \$5,000.²⁵ Within 15 days after becoming a candidate, he or she must file a Statement of Candidacy designating a principal campaign committee.²⁶ Within 10

See Heckler v. Chaney. 470 U.S. 831 (1985); MUR 6773 (Nestande, et al.) (dismissing use of nonfederal funds allegation because expenses were de minimis). A cautionary letter is appropriate because the Committee did not amend its reports, as it represented it would.

²² Resp. at ¶ 2.

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; see also MUR 6841 (Reid, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee failed to include the proper disclaimer with an email solicitation).

²⁴ Resp. at ¶ 5.

²⁵ 11 C.F.R. § 100.3(a)(1)-(2).

²⁶ 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a).

- 1 days after filing a Statement of Candidacy, the principal committee must file a Statement of
- 2 Organization.²⁷
- 3 Although the Committee timely filed its Statement of Organization, it did not include
- 4 Taylor's name on the form. However, the Committee amended the statement within two days of
- 5 receiving a Request for Additional Information ("RFAI") from the Commission's Reports
- 6 Analysis Division ("RAD"). Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission dismiss this
- 7 allegation.²⁸

- C. Failure to Report Expenditures in Connection With Campaign Events
- 9 The Act and regulations require a committee to report its aggregate operating
- 10 expenditures.²⁹ A committee must also report the full name and address of each vendor who
- 11 receives payment in excess of \$200 within an election cycle.³⁰ The Committee published several
- 12 invitations on Facebook to events at restaurants that promised food and drink, but its reports
- 13 disclose no corresponding expenditures. The Response states that these events were "informal
- 14 gatherings" that did not generate any expenses.³¹ While it is unlikely that these events did not
- 15 generate any expenditures, the amounts were likely small. Thus, we recommend that the
- 16 Commission dismiss this allegation with a letter of caution.³²

²⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30103(a); 11 C.F.R. § 102.1(a).

²⁸ See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831.

²⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(2)(i).

³⁰ 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(4)(i).

³¹ Resp. at ¶ 7.

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; MUR 6536 (Gonzalez for Congress, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee failed to report disbursements in part because of the small amount at issue).

15

D. Incomplete Disclosure of an LLC Contribution

Contributions by an LLC that elects to be treated as a partnership by the Internal Revenue 2 Service are treated as partnership contributions.³³ Partnership contributions, in turn, must be 3 attributed to both the partnership and to each partner, either in direct proportion to the partner's 4 share of the entity's profits or by agreement among the partners.³⁴ Additionally, an LLC that 5 makes a contribution must affirm to the recipient committee that it is eligible to make such a 6 contribution, and must indicate how the contribution is to be attributed.³⁵ The Commission's 7 8 LLC attribution regulations were implemented partly to prevent the use of LLCs to evade the Act's source and amount limitations.³⁶ One of those source limitations is the prohibition on 9 accepting direct contributions from corporations.³⁷ 10 Respondents failed to report the attribution of a \$1,000 donation from Beachfront LLC 11 received on March 3, 2016. The Committee states that after receiving the Complaint, it 12 contacted the LLC but could not verify whether the entity was eligible to make the contribution, 13 so the Committee refunded it on June 22, 2016.³⁸ Thus, the Committee may have failed to 14

properly itemize the partners' contributions, or may have impermissibly accepted a corporate

¹¹ C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2). The Commission's regulations do not require that a contribution from an LLC that is taxed as a corporation be attributed to the LLC's member or members, and such contributions are treated as corporate contributions under the Act. See id. § 110.1(g)(3).

³⁴ Id. § 110.1(e). If an individual partner's share of the contribution exceeds \$200 when combined with other contributions received from that partner in the same election cycle, the committee must disclose itemized information on the partner as a memo entry. Id.; 11 C.F.R § 104.8.

³⁵ *Id.* § 110.1(g).

See Treatment of Limited Liability Companies Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, 64 Fed. Reg. 37397, 37398 (July 12, 1999).

³⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2.

³⁸ Resp. at ¶ 9.

- 1 contribution.³⁹ However, because the amount in violation is relatively small \$1,000 out of the
- 2 Committee's total receipts of approximately \$830,000 for the 2016 election cycle and the
- 3 Committee refunded the contribution, we recommend that the Commission dismiss this
- 4 allegation.⁴⁰

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

E. Contributions From Federal Contractors

Federal contractors may not make contributions to political committees, and a Committee may not knowingly solicit donations from federal contractors.⁴¹ This prohibition does not apply to individual employees of a federal contractor who are not themselves contractors.⁴² Employees of federal contractors may contribute to federal political committees using personal funds.⁴³

Respondents state that the contributors identified by the Complaint are employees of federal contractors, not contractors themselves, and may contribute.⁴⁴ Nearly all of the named contributors submitted responses affirming that they are not contractors, and that the funds used were personal and not directed by a contractor firm.⁴⁵ One contributor did not respond, but we have no information indicating that he is a federal contractor.⁴⁶ We therefore recommend that

See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e) (requiring attribution of partners); 11 C.F.R § 104.8 (requiring uniform reporting of receipts); 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) (prohibiting contributions from corporations).

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; MUR 6808 (Smith, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee received an impermissible \$1,000 corporate donation and noting the immediate return of the donation).

⁴¹ 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1)-(2); 11 C.F.R. § 115.2.

⁴² 11 C.F.R. § 115.6.

Id. Additionally, if a sole proprietorship is a federal contractor, the owner of that entity may not donate to federal campaigns using business, personal or other funds. Id. § 115.5.

Supplemental Resp. (July 15, 2015).

See Responses from Baggs, Dabbs, Sisco, Mutzabaugh, Kuhle, Roberts, Miller, Kimble, Bates, Lee, and their corresponding entities.

Our review of donor records and the federal contractor database suggests that that contributor, Ronald Kramer, is likely not a federal contractor.

8

9

10

11

14

15

17

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 11 of 20

- the Commission find no reason to believe that the named individuals are federal contractors, or
- 2 that the Committee accepted contributions from federal contractors.

F. Untimely 2012 Disclosure Reports by the OPSEC Entities

4 Every person that makes disbursements for electioneering communications aggregating in

5 excess of \$10,000 during a calendar year must file a disclosure statement with the Commission.⁴⁷

6 These statements must disclose the identities of any person who shared or exercised control in

7 making the disbursement.⁴⁸ Additionally, every entity that is not a political committee and that

makes independent expenditures aggregating in excess of \$250 with respect to a given election in

a calendar year must file reports of independent expenditures with the Commission.⁴⁹ These

reports must identify donors who have contributed in excess of \$200 for the purpose of furthering

the reported expenditure(s).⁵⁰ Political committees must file regular reports of their receipts and

12 disbursements.⁵¹

Both OPSEC entities respond that they timely amended their 2012 filings.⁵² During the

2012 cycle, the Education Fund filed 24-hour electioneering communications reports, but six

reports failed to disclose the person exercising control over the communications. RAD issued six

16 RFAIs requesting that information, and the Education Fund timely amended the statements to

show that Taylor exercised control over the communications. Likewise, OPSEC Political

18 Committee received several letters from RAD citing reporting issues, including two notices of

⁴⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f); 11 C.F.R. § 104.20(b).

⁴⁸ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f)(2)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.20(c)(2).

⁴⁹ 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(b).

⁵⁰ *Id.*; 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(e)(1)(vi).

⁵² U.S.C. § 30104(a)(b).

OPSEC Fund Resp. at 1-2 (Aug. 1, 2016); OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1 (Aug. 1, 2016).

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 1 failure to file required quarterly reports, as well as three RFAIs concerning the use of an incorrect
- 2 form and failure to disclosure donor information. OPSEC Political Committee adequately
- 3 responded to the issues and filed the missing quarterly reports. Both OPSEC entities corrected
- 4 the errors shortly after receipt of RFAIs, and these allegations do not merit further enforcement
- 5 action. 53 Thus, we recommend that the Commission dismiss these allegations. 54

G. OPSEC Entities: Political Committee Status and Reporting Obligations

The Complaint broadly asserts that the OPSEC entities "failed to comply with the reporting requirements for receipts and disbursements of political committees." 55

In response, the Education Fund states that it was not a political committee during the relevant period and did not engage in activity that had to be reported to the Commission.⁵⁶
Instead, it states that it is a 501(c)(4) organization, and its "primary purpose" is not political activity. The Education Fund admits that it solicited donations for Taylor on its Facebook page, but states that it "removed those posts from its page and at no time did it engage in any other solicitations on behalf of Scott Taylor for Congress."⁵⁷ OPSEC Political Committee states that it did not qualify as a political committee.⁵⁸ It further states that it terminated its existence with the IRS on February 28, 2013.

See Reports Analysis Division Review and Referral Procedures for the 2011-2012 Election Cycle, 141, 121.

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985); see generally MUR 5746 (Robinson for Congress, et al.) (dismissing reporting violation after the committee properly responded to RFAIs).

Supplemental Compl. at III.

OPSEC Fund Resp. at 2.

Id. The Complaint further states that these Facebook posts constitute fraudulent solicitations. Suppl. Comp. at IV. This allegation is thinly plead and is not supported by the record.

OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1.

1. The Test for Political Committee Status

The Act and Commission regulations define a "political committee" as "any committee, 2 3 club, association or other group of persons which receives contributions aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year or which makes expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 4 during a calendar year."⁵⁹ In Buckley v. Valeo, the Supreme Court held that defining political 5 committee status "only in terms of the annual amount of 'contributions' and 'expenditures" 6 might be overbroad, reaching "groups engaged purely in issue discussion." To cure that 7 infirmity, the Court concluded that the term "political committee" "need only encompass 8 9 organizations that are under the control of a candidate or the major purpose of which is the nomination or election of a candidate."61 Accordingly, under the statute as thus construed, an 10 organization that is not controlled by a candidate must register as a political committee only if 11 (1) it crosses the \$1,000 threshold; and (2) it has as its "major purpose" the nomination or 12 election of federal candidates.⁶² 13

⁵² U.S.C. § 30101(4)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.5. The Act defines "contribution" to include "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.52. Likewise, "expenditure" includes "any payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money or anything of value, made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(9)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.111.

⁶⁰ Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 79 (1976).

⁶¹ Id. (emphasis added).

In examining the "major purpose" of an organization, the Commission takes a case-by-case approach that requires a fact-intensive analysis of the group's activities. See Supplemental E & J, 72 Fed. Reg. at 5601 (Feb. 7, 2007). The Commission has indicated that it will analyze two primary factors when examining a group's major purpose: (1) a group's spending, particularly whether its spending has become "extensive," and (2) a group's stated purpose, as indicated through its public statements and internal documents and communications. See FEC v. MCFL, 479 U.S. 238, 262-264 (1986); FEC v. GOP AC, Inc., 917 F. Supp. 851, 859 (D.D.C. 1996).

16

2. The Education Fund

The OPSEC Education Fund is a 501(c)(4) organization that began filing electioneering 2 communication reports with the Commission during the 2012 election cycle.⁶³ Taylor was its 3 chairman from 2012 to at least March 2016. The group's current website describes itself as "a 4 non-partisan grassroots advocacy organization focused on protecting US Special Operations 5 Forces and national intelligence assets and operatives from political exploitation and policies. 6 and the misuse of classified information, that unnecessarily exposes them and their families to 7 greater risk and reduces their effectiveness in keeping Americans safe."64 8 The available record does not provide a basis to draw a reasonable inference that the 9 10 Education Fund received contributions or made expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year. We have no information indicating that the group received funds for the 11 purpose of influencing an election when it began making electioneering communications in 2012. 12 Similarly, we have not found probative information indicating that the group received more than 13 \$1,000 in contributions in 2016.65 14 We also do not have information showing that the Education Fund made more than 15

\$1,000 in expenditures during any calendar year. In 2012, the group filed reports of several

The Education Fund has not reported electioneering communications since that time.

See http://www.opsecteam.org/mission.html (last visited March 14, 2016).

The group's website did solicit funds to "definitely stop Hillary Clinton" at some point in 2016, when she was no longer Secretary of State but was a federal candidate. This solicitation suggests that the group may have received some contributions in 2016, but we have not been able to confirm that it did, or that such contributions exceeded \$1,000.

That solicitation has since been removed from the group's website. Given that Taylor was succeeded as Chairman at some point between March and July 2016, it is unclear whether Taylor exercised control over the Education Fund during the time that it solicited funds to "definitively stop Hillary Clinton." See supra, note 2.

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 15 of 20

- 1 electioneering communications representing costs of approximately \$500,000.66 Those
- 2 communications, however, do not appear to contain express advocacy, and therefore do not
- 3 constitute "expenditures" under the Act or regulations.⁶⁷
- 4 Nor does the group's more recent activity indicate that it made more than \$1,000 in
- 5 expenditures in 2016, or any other year. While the filings in this matter contain little information
- 6 about the Education Fund's later disbursements, our review found a few activities that at first
- 7 seem as if they might be expenditures. On closer examination, however, these activities do not
- 8 indicate that the Education Fund spent more than \$1,000 for the purpose of influencing a federal
- 9 election.

10

11

12 13

14

• First, the group produced a 29-second ad titled "We Get Angry" in September 2015 that Taylor narrates, which criticizes Clinton by stating "Our friends pay the price when politicians like Hillary Clinton compromise secret information over email." The ad does not contain express advocacy. 68

See Electioneering Communication reports for Committee ID C30002042; Attach. 1 at 1, Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund, Inc. IRS Form 990 (2012).

Our review of the Fund's electioneering communications found that they do not constitute express advocacy under 11 C.F.R. § 100.22. See OPSEC Education Fund, "Bump in the Road," available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RkIO7mNwi4o (discussing President Obama's handling of Benghazi and stating "there is nothing acceptable about playing politics with national security" while showing photos of Obama, but not expressly urging the defeat of Obama or otherwise referencing the election). See also Final Rule on Electioneering Communications Explanation & Justification, 72 Fed. Reg. 72,899, 72,908 (Dec. 26, 2007) (noting that criticizing a candidate's past record does not constitute taking a position on that candidate's character, qualifications, or fitness when in the context of a broader issue-based discussion).

[&]quot;New OPSEC Ad: We Get Angry," available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4pKbnoJSNKM (published Sept. 10, 2015, last visited January 25, 2017). We do not have information regarding whether the Fund ran the ad beyond the group's YouTube and Facebook pages.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

- Second, the group produced a five-minute video criticizing Hillary Clinton called "The Truth About Benghazi," which was shown at the Republican National Convention. That video also does not contain express advocacy.⁶⁹
- Finally, in late 2016, the group began to create and broadcast a web-hosted radio program that included one episode in which the host expressly advocated Hillary Clinton's defeat in the upcoming election. We do not know the costs associated with the show, but we believe they were likely under \$1,000 because the show was a webcast that did not appear to be professionally designed, and we have not identified any staff for the show other than its hosts.
- Thus, it is unclear whether the Education Fund met either the contribution or expenditure threshold under the Act. In the past, the Commission has opened investigations to determine if a group had passed the statutory threshold, but those cases involved much more significant

The video did not mention Clinton's candidacy, or contain express advocacy. See Electioneering Communication E&J, 72 Fed. Reg. at 72,908.

See http://www.opsecteam.org/conv/ (last visited March 14, 2017). The video criticized Hillary Clinton's performance as the former Secretary of State by:

Featuring a clip of Clinton repeatedly stating "we didn't lose a single person" in Libya;

[•] Noting that on the day before the attack in Benghazi, President Obama met with Clinton regarding changes that might be needed for the anniversary of 9/11 and "not a single change was made that might have helped those in the high-risk area of Benghazi";

Stating that "Hillary Clinton's State Department" requested that marines not carry weapons "in a warzone where Americans were under fire, because they didn't want it to look like an invasion, because they didn't want to offend anybody. Really?";

[•] Stating that Clinton privately called the attack a terrorist attack while publicly presenting it at as a spontaneous protest.

See http://americaoutloud.com/show/opsecradio/ (last visited Jan. 25, 2017). The group first posted a link to the radio program on its Facebook account on Dec. 4, 2016. Jamie Williamson, OPSEC's co-founder, is identified as the radio show's primary host. The individual programs are not dated, but some of the episodes appear to have been posted in the days or weeks before the November 2016 election. See, e.g., "Wake Up America!," http://americaoutloud.com/wake-up-america/ (last visited Jan. 25, 2017) (Williamson stating that "Hillary Clinton is the most morally bankrupt, corrupt candidate to run for office in my lifetime of 56 years" and acknowledging the coming election, stating that as a 501(c)(4), "We cannot advocate the election of or the defeat of a particular candidate, which I won't do, but I damn well am gonna educate my listening audience," and "Hillary Clinton is an un-indicted co-conspirator and a criminal of the highest order who's put the national security of the United States at risk, and is directly or indirectly responsible for the deaths of a U.S. ambassador and three other Americans. So think before you go to the polls. Is this the commander in chief that you want for the next four years?"). See 11 C.F.R. § 100.22(b).

1 electoral activity than in the current matter.⁷¹ Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission

2 dismiss the allegation that the Education Fund failed to register and report as a political

3 committee.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

3. OPSEC Political Committee

The OPSEC Political Committee was a Section 527 organization established in 2012.⁷² It filed regular disclosure reports with the IRS until its termination in 2013. Though it shared the same address and appeared to be closely related to the Education Fund, it was a separate legal entity. In 2012, it spent \$63,350 on independent expenditures opposing Barack Obama's reelection.⁷³ Publicly available tax forms show that the group reported a total of \$136,821 in expenditures in 2012. Taylor's precise role with the Political Committee is unclear, and he was not a candidate for federal office during the 2012 election cycle.

OPSEC Political Committee's independent expenditures satisfy the threshold spending requirement to qualify as a political committee. ⁷⁴ Additionally, the organization named itself "Special Operations OPSEC *Political Committee*" (emphasis added), which suggests that it

See MUR 5511/5525 (Swift Boat Vets, et al.) (Commission found it appropriate to investigate whether a group raised or spent \$1,000 for the purpose of influencing a federal election where the group's statement and activities were exclusively geared toward criticizing a presidential candidate and publicly available information showed the group raised \$20 million overall and spent \$18 million); MUR 5541 (The November Fund) (same, where group was heavily critical of a presidential candidate and OGC knew of a \$200,000 vendor payment for internet advertising); MUR 5487 (Progress for America Voter Fund) (same, where available information indicated that the group's purpose was to influence the 2004 election, and the group apparently raised and spent millions of dollars in furtherance of that objective, with solicitations expressly mentioning swing states).

OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1.

⁷³ See OPSEC Political Committee 2012 Year-End Report; 2012 October Report.

⁷⁴ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(17); 11 CFR § 100.113.

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 18 of 20

- 1 publicly represented itself as a political committee.⁷⁵ The organization's name, coupled with its
- 2 record of funding independent expenditures, provides a reasonable basis on which to infer that
- 3 the group may have adopted a major purpose of influencing federal elections. However, we
- 4 recommend dismissal as a matter of prosecutorial discretion. The Political Committee is defunct,
- 5 and further action would likely not be an efficient use of the Commission's resources. The group
- 6 has not funded independent expenditures since 2012, it terminated with the IRS in 2013, and it
- 7 filed its last report with the Commission a miscellaneous report responding to earlier RFAIs
- 8 on July 1, 2013. Thus, its last activities appear to have predated the complaints by three years.
- 9 The Commission has previously decided to take no further action on political committee
- 10 allegations where the entity was essentially defunct, with minimal or no assets, and had been
- inactive for several years with little prospect of resuming activity. Accordingly, we
- 12 recommend that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this
- 13 allegation.⁷⁷

The organization's stated purpose on its IRS Form 8871 is nonpartisan, and the Response makes a broad assertion that "at no time did the Committee qualify as a political committee under the Act." See Attach. 2 at 2, Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee IRS Form 8871 (2012) (describing the entity as a "[p]olitical committee to educate the public on national security issues."). However, "[a] declaration by the organization that they are not [organized] for an electioneering purpose is not dispositive" in analyzing that organization's major purpose. See Real Truth About Obama v. FEC, 2008 WL 4416282, at *14 (E.D. Va. Sept. 24, 2008).

See Factual & Legal Analysis at 2, MUR 6021 (The Ballot Project); see also MUR 5534 (Business Alaska). Additionally, though not dispositive, OPSEC Political Committee filed independent expenditure reports with the Commission and itemized approximately \$43,000 in receipts. See Miscellaneous Report (Dec. 19, 2012). It also filed regular IRS reports and disclosed \$64,990 in itemized donations on IRS forms filed over the course of 2012. Thus, while the organization may have been required to make all filings required of a political committee, it did make some public disclosures, which partly mitigates disclosure concerns.

⁷⁷ See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985).

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 19 of 20

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2 1. Find no reason to believe that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G.
 3 Selph in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A) by
 4 using nonfederal funds to send the campaign announcement email;
 5
 - 2. Dismiss the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer, and Scott Taylor for Delegate violated 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d) by using nonfederal funds to host the Committee's website, and send a letter of caution to Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer;
 - 3. Dismiss the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity violated 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a);
 - 4. Dismiss the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1);
 - 5. Dismiss with a letter of caution the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5) or 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b);
 - 6. Dismiss the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e);
 - 7. Find no reason to believe that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity violated 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(2);
 - 8. Find no reason to believe that Stephen Baggs; Systems Technology Forum, Ltd.; Thomas Bates; RK Chevrolet, Buick, Subaru, Inc.; Darek Dabbs; Sera-Brynn LLC; Eric Kimble; Kimble Companies/Penn-Ohio Coal Co.; Ronald Kramer; Kramer Management Enterprises, Inc.; Shawn Kuhle; Turner Strategic Technologies; Tactical Defense Solutions LLC; William W. Lee, Jr.; National Research Group, LLC; Bob Miller; Miller-Stephenson & Associates, P.C.; David H. Mutzabaugh; ThunderCat Technology, LLC; Richard D. Roberts; Norfolk Southern Corporation; Eric Sisco or Virginia International Gateway, Inc. violated 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1);
 - 9. Dismiss the allegation that Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f);
 - 10. Dismiss the allegation that Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee violated 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(b);

1 2 11. Dismiss the allegation that that Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund violated 52 U.S.C. § 30103, 52 U.S.C. § 30102, or 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a); 3 4 Dismiss the allegation that Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee 5 12. violated 52 U.S.C. § 30103, 52 U.S.C. § 30102, or 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a); 6 7 13. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analyses; 8 9 14. Approve the appropriate letters; 10 11 Close the file. 12 15. 13 Lisa J. Stevenson 14 **Acting General Counsel** 15 16 17 Kathleen M. Guith 18 Associate General Counsel 19 for Enforcement 20 21 22 23 11.3.17 Date: Stephen Gura 24 25 Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement 26 27 Mark Shonkwiler 28 29 Mark Shonkwiler 30 Assistant General Counsel 31 32 33 34 Antoinette Fuoto 35 36. Attorney 37 38 Attachments: 39 1. Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund, Inc. IRS Form 990 2. Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee IRS Form 8871 40 41 4. Factual and Legal Analysis - Scott Taylor, Scott Taylor for Congress and Selph as treasurer 42 5. Factual and Legal Analysis - OPSEC Entities 43

6. Factual and Legal Analyses - Alleged Federal Contractors

ISA

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the internal Revenue Code (except black lung

OMB No 1545-0047

benefit trust or private foundation) ▶ The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy state reporting requirements Open to Public Inspection

Depa	rtment of	the Treasury ue Service	► The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy state	te reporting red	uirements	Inspection
_			ndar year, or tax year beginning , 2012, and e		•	, 20
			C Name of organization SPECIAL OPERATIONS OPSEC EDUCATION I		D Employe	er identification number
_	Address		Doing Business As	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	45-55	552554
_	Name ch		Number and street (or P O box if mail is not delivered to street address) Roor	m/suite	E Telephor	ne number
~	Initial ret	•	PO Box 1096		571-4	182-7690
	Terminat	ted	City, town or post office, state, and ZIP code			
	Amende	d return	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313		G Gross re	cespts \$ 1,817,287
	Applicati	on pending	F Name and address of principal officer SCOTT TAYLOR	H(a) is t	his a group return	for affibates? Yes No
			1206 LASKIN RD STE 201 VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 234	451 H(b) Ar	e all affiliates in	ncluded? Yes No
	Tax-exe	mpt status	☐ 501(c)(3) 🔀 501(c) (4) ◀ (insert no) ☐ 4947(a)(1) or ☐ 52	27	"No," attach a	list (see instructions)
	Website				oup exemption	
	_		Corporation ☐ Trust ☐ Association ☐ Other ► ☐ L Year of form	rmation 201	2 M State	of legal domicile DE
Pá	art I	Summ				
	1	Bnefly de	escribe the organization's mission or most significant activities: ORG	GANIZED WIT	H THE PURP	OSE OF PROMOTING
æ			CIAL WELFARE WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTION 501(C)(4			
ĕ	Ì	WILL FU	RTHER SUCH PURPOSES BY EDUCATING U.S. CITIZENS THROUGH RE	ESEARCH, COM	MUNICATIO	NS, AND OUTREACH
들	{		NG THE ISSUE OF THE NEED TO PROTECT THE SECRECY OF THE U.			
Š	2		is box ▶☐ if the organization discontinued its operations or dispos	sed of more th	1 1	
<u>ت</u>	3		of voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)		3	3
Activities & Governance	4		of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line	•	4	3
Ĭ	5		mber of individuals employed in calendar year 2012 (Part V, line 2a)		5	0
Ą	6		mber of volunteers (estimate if necessary)		. 6	
•	7a		related business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12		. 7a	
	Ь	Net unre	lated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34	 .	7b	
٠.				Prio	r Year	Current Year
9	8	Contribu	tions and grants (Part VI) time th			1,817,287
Ē	9	Program	service revenue (Part VIII, line 29ECEIVED			0
Š	10		ent income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)	·		0
- Revenue	11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A) lineရုန်) 6d ျ8လ 9၉၅ (၅၀, ခုဂိုရ 11e)					0
	12 Total revenue—add lines 8 through 1 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 1		2)	0	1,817,287	
∃	13		nd similar amounts paid (Pah+IX, column (A), lines 1-3)-			0
,	14		paid to or for members (Part (%) ေပြက္သြား (A)) jirle 4	<u></u>		0
Expensés	15	-	other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)		0
Jug Sug	16a		onal fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)	. L		82,976
×	b		draising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) ▶ 181, 30	1		17 學樣在
ш	17		penses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e)			1,623,604
	18	Total exp	penses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	·	0	1,706,580
	19	Revenue	less expenses Subtract line 18 from line 12	·	0	110,707
Net Assets or Fund Balances			. =	Beginning o	f Current Year	End of Year
Ser Jafar	20		sets (Part X, line 16)	·	0	110,707
뒱	21		pilities (Part X, line 26)	·	0	0
			ets orfund balances Subtract line 21 from line 20	<u></u>	0	110,707
	art II		Kire Biock			
Un	der pena	alties of perju	ury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and lete Declaration of preparer (other than officer) is based on all <u>information of which pre</u>	statements, and	to the best of	my knowledge and belief, it is
uu	e, conec	i, and comp	nate becard on preparer (other main officer) is based on an information of which pre	sparer nas any kn	owiedge	
D:-						
	jn	,	nature of officer			
16	re		MIE WILLIAMSON, TREASURER A			
_			e or print name and title			
Pa	id	1 -	rpe preparer's name Preparer's suprature			
Pr	epare	NANC	CY ORLEANS SINGER THAT CHE			
	e On	V Firm's r				
		Firm's a	address ► 4416 EAST WEST HWY #410 BE			
ма	y the IF	RS discus	s this return with the preparer shown above? (se			
For			ction Act Notice, see the separate instructions.			
		Attachn	nent 1			

Attachment 1

Form 990 (2012)

Part	V Checklist of Required Schedules			
- :			Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)? If "Yes," complete Schedule A	1		х
2	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors (see instructions)?	2	X	
3	Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3		x
4	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4		
5	Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98-19? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III	5	1	x
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors have the right to provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I	6		х
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space, the environment, historic land areas, or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7		x
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part III	8		х
9	Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21, for escrow or custodial account liability, serve as a custodian for amounts not listed in Part X, or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV	9		x
10	Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in temporarily restricted endowments, permanent endowments, or quasi-endowments? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V	10		Х
11	If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is "Yes," then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VIII, IX, or X as applicable			
	Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line 10? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VI	11a		х
	Did the organization report an amount for investments—other securities in Part X, line 12 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VII	11b		Х
	Did the organization report an amount for investments—program related in Part X, line 13 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII	110		х
	Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete_Schedule D, Part IX	11d		- x -
e f	Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in Part X, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X Did the organization's separate or consolidated financial statements for the tax year include a footnote that addresses the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11e	<u> </u>	X
12 a	Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule D. Parts XI and XII	12a		x
b	Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," and if the organization answered "No" to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI and XII is optional	12b		x
13	Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13		Х
14 a	Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States?	14a		X
b	Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business, investment, and program service activities outside the United States, or aggregate foreign investments valued at \$100,000 or more? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts I and IV	14b		x
15	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or assistance to any organization or entity located outside the United States? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts II and IV	15		х
16	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or assistance to individuals located outside the United States? <i>If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts III and IV</i>	16		x
17	Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000 of expenses for professional fundraising services on Part IX, column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I (see instructions)	17	х	
18	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on Part VIII, lines 1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II	18		х
19	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part III	19		х
_	Did the organization operate one or more hospital facilities? If "Yes," complete Schedule H	20a		Х
b	If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach a copy of its audited financial statements to this return?	20h	1	1

1
8
8
Ø.
ė.
9
12
л
P
л
64
A.
60
Ā.
73
. 7
7
٤.
[4]
Ē,
Œ
υ
~7

Part	Checklist of Required Schedules (continued)			
24	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to any government or organization		Yes	No
21	in the United States on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II	21		x
22	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States on Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22		X
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, line 3, 4, or 5 about compensation of the organization's current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees? If "Yes," complete Schedule J	23	-	X
24a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer lines 24b through 24d and complete Schedule K. If "No," go to line 25	24a		х
c	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception? Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease any tax-exempt bonds?	24b 24c		X
d 25a	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year? . Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations. Did the organization engage in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	24d 25a		X
b	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25b		х
26	Was a loan to or by a current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, highest compensated employee, or disqualified person outstanding as of the end of the organization's tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II	26		х
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to an officer, director, trustee, key employee, substantial contributor or employee thereof, a grant selection committee member, or to a 35% controlled entity or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III	27		x
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties (see Schedule L, Part IV instructions for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions):			12/2
a b	A current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV A family member of a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28a 28b		X
C	An entity of which a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee (or a family member thereof) was an officer, director, trustee, or direct or indirect owner? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV .	28c		x
29 30	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	29 30	-	X
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part I	31		x
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part II	32		x
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations sections 301 7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R. Part I	33		x
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part II, III, or IV, and Part V, line 1	34	-	x
35a b	Did the organization have a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes" to line 35a, did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2.	35a 35b		x
36	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36		x
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part VI			x
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11b and 19? Note. All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O	37	х	
	•	For	m 99 () (201

Attachment 1

Part	V Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance								
	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part V		<u></u>						
-			Yes	No					
1a	Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096 Enter -0- if not applicable 1a 10		4	3.3					
Ь	Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a. Enter -0- if not applicable								
C	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and reportable gaming (gambling) winnings to prize winners?								
20	Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax	1c	(E.N. A.)	73 Y 14					
2a									
	The state of the s								
b	Note. If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to e-file (see instructions).	2b	V - 4.						
3a	Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of \$1,000 or more during the year?	3a 3a	نظب شاقة	X					
Ь	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	3b							
4a	At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other authority								
	over, a financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial								
	account)?	4a		<u> </u>					
b	If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country:	6.		7					
	See instructions for filing requirements for Form TD F 90-22 1, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts.								
5a	Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year?	<u>5a</u>	ļ	X					
b	Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction?	5b		Х					
C	If "Yes" to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T?	5c		 - -					
6a	Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than \$100,000, and did the organization solicit any contributions that were not tax deductible as charitable contributions?	6a	x						
ь	If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contributions or	- Oa	 ^	┢					
•	gifts were not tax deductible?	6ь	x						
7	Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).	S. 44.5		25.13					
a	Did the organization receive a payment in excess of \$75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods								
	and services provided to the payor?	7a	20.00	X					
b	If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services provided?	7b							
C	Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it was								
	required to file Form 8282?	7c	<u> </u>	X					
d	If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year	E	芝芝						
e	Did the organization receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay premiums on a personal benefit contract?	7e	<u> </u>	X					
_ f.	Did the organization, during the year, pay-premiums, directly or indirectly, on a personal benefit contract?	7f	<u> </u>	X					
g h	If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8899 as required?	7g	₩	X					
8	If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization file a Form 1098-C? Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds and section 509(a)(3) supporting	7h 设置	8 43 43	沙力基件					
·	organizations. Did the supporting organization, or a donor advised fund maintained by a sponsoring								
	organization, have excess business holdings at any time during the year?	8	استعا	11.19					
9	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.	223	L. (= 2-1)	223					
a	Did the organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?	9a	ورن، جندا	TENNIS EL					
b	Did the organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person?	9b							
10	Section 501(c)(7) organizations. Enter:	2.0							
a	Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12		SIAT:						
b	Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club facilities . [10b]		1						
11	Section 501(c)(12) organizations. Enter:								
a	Gross income from members or shareholders	2							
b	Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources	%.∽	197	P 70					
40-	against amounts due or received from them)	12.	ن لا	1384					
12a b	Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts. Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 1041? If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year	12a	K-Mari	<u> </u>					
13	Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers.	1							
a	Is the organization licensed to issue qualified health plans in more than one state?	13a	1521	: FR. K.					
•	Note. See the instructions for additional information the organization must report on Schedule O.	建光湖	187.4	12/198					
b	Enter the amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by the states in which								
-	the organization is licensed to issue qualified health plans	2.3							
C	Enter the amount of reserves on hand		1 (12.) 2 (12.)						
14a	Did the organization receive any payments for indoor tanning services during the tax year?	14a	Ι_ ΄	Х					
<u>b</u>	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	14b							
	Attachment 1	For	m 990	(2012)					

Form 99	90 (2012)				age 6
Part	Governance, Management, and Disclosure For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b	below, an	d for	ra '	'No"
•	response to line 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedu			uctio	ns_
	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VI	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	
Secti	on A. Governing Body and Management			V T	No.
4-	Fatas the sumber of voting markers of the governor hadron the and of the tay year.	২ ফ্রি	55. Ja	Yes	No 공동기
1a	Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year. If there are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or		\$		
	if the governing body delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar	()			47.3
	committee, explain in Schedule O	23.		<u>.</u>	
ь	Enter the number of voting members included in line 1a, above, who are independent 1b	3		泛门	
2	Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship	p with			
	any other officer, director, trustee, or key employee?	. [2		X
3	Did the organization delegate control over management duties customanly performed by or under the		\Box		
	supervision of officers, directors, or trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person?		3		X
4	Did the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form 990 was filed		4]	X
5	Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's asset		5		X
6 7a	Did the organization have members or stockholders? Did the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or a	. —	6	-	
74	one or more members of the governing body?		7a		X
b	Are any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) men	ļ	'"	\dashv	
_	stockholders, or persons other than the governing body?	i i	7ь		Х
8	Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken		5	377	
	the year by the following:	\$.	الم		A
а	The governing body?	⊢		X	
Ъ	Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body?	·	8b	X	
9	Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be read the organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O	hed at			U
Sacti	on B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal	I Pevenu	9	do)	<u> </u>
Secti	On B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the internal	Nevellu		Yes	No
10a	Did the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?	[1	I0a		X
b	If "Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such cha		-		
	affiliates, and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purpos	ies? 1	юь		
11a	Has the organization provided a complete copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing body before filling the			X	
	Describe in Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review this Form 990	_			- 3
12a	Did the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13		12a		X
b	Were officers, directors, or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give rise to co	<u> </u>	12b		
С	Did the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If describe in Schedule O how this was done		12c		
13	Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy?	—	13		X
14	Did the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?	<u> </u>	14	X	
15	Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and appro	oval by		(100)	38
	independent persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decis	sion?		7	
а	The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official	. [1	15a 📗		X
b			15b		X
40-	If "Yes" to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O (see instructions)	15			F
16a	Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrang with a taxable entity during the year?	- 144	1		
-	· · · · ·		16a	Vaga.	X
b	If "Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evalu- participation in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safegue	ard the		1	1
	organization's exempt status with respect to such arrangements?	<u> -</u>	16b	20133.	القنكست
Secti	on C. Disclosure	<u>-</u>			Ь
17	List the states with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed ▶				
18	Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Forms 1023 (or 1024 if applicable), 990, and 990-T	(Section	501(0	c)(3)s	only)
	available for public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply				
44	Own website Another's website Upon request Other (explain in Schedule O)			
19	Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how), the organization made its governing documents, or and financial statements available to the public during the tax year	onflict of	ınter	est p	olicy,
20	State the name, physical address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the books and	1000rds -	6 AL-		
20	organization. Figure MARSTON 110 SHOOTERS CT ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 (571)48		ı ıne		•

1 01111 330 (2012	
Part VII	Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and
	Independent Contractors
	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VII

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

- 1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year.
- List all of the organization's current officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.
 - List all of the organization's current key employees, if any. See instructions for definition of "key employees."
- List the organization's five current highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee, or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's former officers, key employees, and highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's former directors or trustees that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

List persons in the following order, individual trustees or directors, institutional trustees; officers; key employees; highest compensated employees; and former such persons

Check this box if neither the organization no	r any relate	d orga	anız	atio	n c	ompe	nsa	ated any currer	nt officer, director	r, or trustee
(A) Name and Title	(B) Average hours per week (list any hours for related lorganizations	box,	unles er and	Pos eck s pe	more rson	than cost both or/trust Highest employe	n an	(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W-2/1099-MISC	(E) Reportable compensation from related - organizations (W-2/1099-MISC)	(F) Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization
	below dotted line)		Institutional trustee		ployee	Highest compensated employee				and related . organizations
(1)SCOTT TAYLOR DIRECTOR AND CHAIRMAN	<u> </u>	x		Х				0	0	0
(2) JAMES M. WILLIAMSON DIRECTOR AND TREASURER	- 1	X_		х		•		· 0	- 0	0.
(3) DON ALEXANDER DIRECTOR	1	Х						0	0	0
(4)										
(5)		_						·		
(6)										
(7)										
(8)									 	
(9)										
(10)										
(11)										
(12)								,		
(13)		_						 		
(14)										

Part	Section A. Officers, Directors, Trust (A) Name and title	(B) Average hours per	(do n	ot ch	Pos neck is pe	tion more	than on the state of the state	one an	(D) Reportable compensation	(E) Reportable compensation		Estin amou	ınt of	
		week (list any hours for related organizations below dotted line)		Institutional trustee	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	from the organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	related organization (W-2/1099-Mi		and n	nsation the ization	
(15)							<u> </u>				\top			
(16)						<u> </u>					十		·	
(17)				\vdash							_			
(18)					-						\dashv	<u>· </u>		
(19)						Ė					\dagger			
(20)						-					\top			·
(21)			-					-			\top			
(22)													•	
(23)											\top			
(24)								T						
(25)					_	┢			,		十			
. 1b	Total from continuation sheets to Part	VII, Sectio	n A					> > >	0		0			
2	Total number of individuals (including but reportable compensation from the organi	not limited			list	ed		e) w		ore than \$10) of		
3	Did the organization list any former of employee on line 1a? If "Yes," complete 5	ficer, direc			uste	e,	key e	emp	oloyee, or high	nest comper	satec			No X
4	For any individual listed on line 1a, is the organization and related organizations individual	sum of re	portal	ble (con	npei	nsatio							X
5	Did any person listed on line 1a receive of for services rendered to the organization									zation or indi	vidua	5	3.4	X
Section	n B. Independent Contractors											<u> </u>		
1	Complete this table for your five highest of compensation from the organization. Repyear.	compensation compe	ed ind nsatio	depo on fo	end or th	ent 1e c	contra alend	acto lar y	ors that receive year ending wif	ed more than th or within the	1 \$100 he org	0,000 of ganızatio	n's ta	×
	(A) . Name and business add	ress							(B) Description of s	ervices		(C) Compens	ation	
						_								
												- 		
2	Total number of independent contractor received more than \$100,000 of compens							th	ose listed ab	ove) who 'a				

Part	VIII	Check if Schedule O		resna	nse to any dues	tion in this Part \	/III		
		Ollow II College				(A) Total revenue	(8) Related or exempt function revenue	(C) Unrelated business revenue	(D) Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512, 513, or 514
at st	1a	Federated campaigns		1a		F . 455. 12. 4.			
ts, Grants Amounts	b	Membership dues		1b		18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1		100	
S, E	C	Fundraising events .		1c					
GIRS, Ilar An	d	Related organizations		1d		Same of the second	1 42 SA VE	William Bridge	
B, C	e	Government grants (con		1e				7.7	
<u> </u>	f	All other contributions, gi							3
ber i		and similar amounts not inc		1f	1,817,287	SAN TO VIEW		130	3、章、学、英、观
돌 o	g	Noncash contributions includ	ted in lines 1a		<u> </u>			38	
Contributions, Glff and Other Similar	h	Total. Add lines 1a-1				1,817,287			
					Business Code	753 WE 28 C		3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3	E - 52
ent	2a						**************************************	Later a Charles	
Se l	Ь								
9	C								<u> </u>
EZ	d								
a s									
gran	f	All other program sen	vice reveni						
Program Service Revenue	g	Total. Add lines 2a-2				0	375 35		N 28 - 28 - 76
	3	Investment income		dıvid	ends, interest	<u> </u>	C37 -2 1821 - 45 176	36 1698. 3 VE.4 3	2747-1, 1774.
		and other similar amo							Į.
	4	Income from investmen	-	mot be	and proceeds		 		
	5	Royalties		-	•		 	 	
	"	noyumos	(i) Rea	: 	(II) Personal	63.36.8 PR 200.5	~1356AE/VA	1 TO 100	TANADA ANTONIO
	6a	Gross rents .					16.		
	b	Less: rental expenses							
	C	Rental income or (loss)	ļ	0	0				多大型
	d	Net rental income or (_	<u> </u>		I William State State State	ا عَدَالُمُ اللَّهُ	THE TAXABLE THE
	7a	Gross amount from sales of	(i) Securit	ies	(ii) Other	CARTAGA - PAGE	Backson (一) 医皮肤	A MAN TO ARREST	DESCRIPTION
	/4	assets other than inventory			(4) 0			1	
	. b	Less: cost or other basis	ļ <u>-</u>						
	. 10	and sales expenses	-]			4.00	
	_			0	0				
	C	Gain or (loss)	L				A STATE OF THE STA		The state of the s
1	d	Net gain or (loss) .	•	• •	<u> </u>	₩/₹ 7811, ##354.34	Compression of the contract of	" 斯尔尔·维尔 " 克雷尔克 。	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100
و	0.	Gross income from fu	- drain -						A
an l	82	events (not including \$	inuraising						
					}	4			100 SAN 100 THE
Other Rev		of contributions reported See Part IV, line 18.	o on line i	C) _				2	
ᅙ				a					
ō	b	Less: direct expenses		. b	<u> </u>			F 13C TALES	
j	C	Net income or (loss) for Gross income from ga			events . >	U 1571 0 87243 18 383	TO HE WAS A COMMON AS	Notice Park Trefu -	WANTE MAN TOWN
	9a	See Part IV, line 19	iming activi						
1				· a					THE STATE OF THE S
	ь	Less: direct expenses		. b		# BANDAM		A COLUMN TO THE SECOND	
1	20-	Net income or (loss) for			vities	University of the Control of	N. I. C. Proposition Chair Chaire	(3) hite 5 -4-7 - 000-4	Carried
1	10a	Gross sales of in		less	<u> </u>				
		returns and allowance	-	· a					
	ь	Less: cost of goods s							
, ,	<u> </u>	Net income or (loss) fi		יאון זכ		0	11. 7.65 M 25 - 12.6-5m	de contact to	1 14. 7 th ou let a
ļ		Miscellaneous R	evenue		Business Code	A TANK CAN		Lies Ini	
]	11a				L			<u> </u>	
ļ	Ь	***************************************				ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
}	C	***************************************						·	
	d	All other revenue .		•	<u> </u>				
į	e	Total. Add lines 11a-			•			《 经验证》	A STATE OF THE STA
	12	Total revenue. See in	structions	<u> </u>	<u> ▶</u>	1,817,287	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>
	A	Attachment 1							Form 990 (2012)

	Check if Schedule O contains a respon				<u> </u>
	ot include amounts reported on lines 6b, 7b, o, and 10b of Part VIII.	(A) Total expenses	(B) Program service expenses	(C) Management and general expenses	(D) Fundraising expenses
1	Grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the United States See Part IV, line 21				
2	Grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States. See Part IV, line 22 .				
3	Grants and other assistance to governments, organizations, and individuals outside the United States. See Part IV, lines 15 and 16.				
4 5	Benefits paid to or for members Compensation of current officers, directors, trustees, and key employees			Maray Maray	经验证的
6	Compensation not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B)			·	
7 8	Other salaries and wages Pension plan accruals and contributions (include section 401(k) and 403(b) employer contributions)				
9	Other employee benefits				
10	Payroll taxes				
11	Fees for services (non-employees):				
а	Management	50,000		50,000	
b	Legal	40,059		40,059	
C	Accounting	6,074	<u></u>	6,074	
đ	Lobbying	92 076	S. S. CORRESPONDED	\$2000 \ 0.5 TON (\$2.7 TON)	92 076
e	Professional fundraising services See Part IV, line 17	02,970			82,976
f	Investment management fees Other. (If line 11g amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column	ļ		 	
g	(A) amount, list line 11g expenses on Schedule O.)	93,223	93,223	Į	
12	Advertising and promotion	1,359,898			94,118
13	Office expenses	1,806		1,806	
14	Information technology	47,190			
15	Royalties				
16	Occupancy	4,000		4,000	
17	Travel	16,828	8,414	4,207	4,207
18	Payments of travel or entertainment expenses				
	for any federal, state, or local public officials				
19	Conferences, conventions, and meetings .	4,526	4,526		
20	Interest		<u> </u>	 	
21	Payments to affiliates		 	ļ	
22	Depreciation, depletion, and amortization .				
23	Insurance	50 200 1 Feb. 1	South to the transfer and	1.875(P2)	Market Sales and the sales of the
24	Other expenses. Itemize expenses not covered above (List miscellaneous expenses in line 24e. If			1	
	line 24e amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column				
	(A) amount, list line 24e expenses on Schedule O.)				
а		Through For	TO THE PERSON SEC., SALL	A LANGE TOWN OF THE CASE	The second of th
b					
C					
đ					
e	All other expenses				<u> </u>
25	Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24e	1,706,580	1,419,133	106,146	181,301
26	Joint costs. Complete this line only if the organization reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation. Check here If following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720)				
	Attachment 1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	··	Form 990 (2012

	- Y	(2)			Page 11
Pa	irt X	Balance Sheet Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part			
		Check it Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part	(A)	 -	(B)
			Beginning of year		End of year
\neg	1	Cash—non-interest-bearing		1	110,707
	2	Savings and temporary cash investments		2	
- 1	3	Pledges and grants receivable, net		3	
ı	4	Accounts receivable, net		4	
	5	Loans and other receivables from current and former officers, directors,		,	子がの強調が関係が
- 1		trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees.			- AATTO ET LANGE
		Complete Part II of Schedule L		_5_	
	6	Loans and other receivables from other disqualified persons (as defined under section	August 10 Te and 12		A STATE OF THE STA
		4958(f)(1)), persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B), and contributing employers and			
Į.		sponsoring organizations of section 501(c)(9) voluntary employees' beneficiary	222.0.25	والتخط	
Assets		organizations (see instructions) Complete Part II of Schedule L.		6_	
88	7	Notes and loans receivable, net		7	
•	8	Inventories for sale or use		8	
1	9	Prepaid expenses and deferred charges	DIE TER IN HEIMARIAN INVESTA	9 %%%	7 14, 1786 MANGE
	10a	Land, buildings, and equipment: cost or other basis. Complete Part VI of Schedule D		1	
- l	_		ALCO LOS PROPERTOS	115	المتناف المتنافظة المتنافظة
	11	Less: accumulated depreciation	 	10c	<u> </u>
		Investments—other securities. See Part IV, line 11		12	
- 1	13	Investments—program-related. See Part IV, line 11		13	
ļ	14	Intangible assets		14	
J	15	Other assets. See Part IV, line 11		15	
j	16	Total assets. Add lines 1 through 15 (must equal line 34)	0.	16	110,707
\neg	17	Accounts payable and accrued expenses		17	===,,,,,,,,
		Grants payable		18	
ı		Deferred revenue		19	
Í	20	Tax-exempt bond liabilities		20	
	21	Escrow or custodial account liability. Complete Part IV of Schedule D		21	
8	22	Loans and other payables to current and former officers, directors,	(1) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	经验	
١ ≝		trustees, key employees, highest compensated employees, and			
Liabilities		disqualified persons. Complete Part II of Schedule L		22	
7	23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrelated third parties		23	
- {	24	Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated third parties		24	
ļ		Other liabilities (including federal income tax, payables to related third	•		
		parties, and other liabilities not included on lines 17-24). Complete Part X			
- }		of Schedule D	<u></u>	25	ļ.—.—. <u> </u>
	26	Total liabilities. Add lines 17 through 25	U STATE OF STATES	26	PANE PANE STATEMENT
S		Complete lines 27 through 29, and lines 33 and 34.		3	
ĕ		Unrestricted net assets	東京工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工工	登 27	110,707
				28	110,70
0 1		Temporarily restricted net assets		29	
5		Organizations that do not follow SFAS 117 (ASC 958), check here ▶ ☐ and	LOCAL CONTRACTOR	- 10	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
닏		complete lines 30 through 34.			
Net Assets or Fund Balances		Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH	30	
Set		Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building, or equipment fund	}	31	
AS		Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated income, or other funds.		32	
ا ب		Total net assets or fund balances	0		110,707
<u>9</u>	J J				

Form 990 (2012)

Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XII

Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990: \(\mathbb{\text{\text{Cash}}}\) Cash \(\mathbb{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{Cash}}}}}\) Other If the organization changed its method of accounting from a prior year or checked "Other," explain in

Net assets or fund balances at end of year. Combine lines 3 through 9 (must equal Part X, line

Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant? If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were compiled or reviewed on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both.

X Separate basis ☐ Consolidated basis ☐ Both consolidated and separate basis **b** Were the organization's financial statements audited by an independent accountant?

If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were audited on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both.

☐ Separate basis ☐ Consolidated basis ☐ Both consolidated and separate basis

If "Yes" to line 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight of the audit, review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent accountant? If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, explain in Schedule O.

As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133? . . .

b If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not undergo the required audit or audits, explain why in Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such audits

3a 3b

706,580

110,707

9

10

Form 990 (2012)

SCHEDULE G (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Supplemental Information Regarding Fundraising or Gaming Activities

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, lines 17, 18, or 19, or if the organization entered more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a

Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ

See separate instructions.

OMB No 1545-0047

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

SPECIAL OPERATIONS OPS	EC EDUCAT	TON FI	IND. TN	C	45-555255	4			
Eundraiging Activities									
Form 990-EZ filers are n									
1 Indicate whether the organizatio	n raised funds th			•	• • •				
a									
b 🔯 Internet and email solicitations f 🔲 Solicitation of government grants									
c Phone solicitations g Special fundraising events									
d 🔀 In-person solicitations									
2a Did the organization have a writ									
or key employees listed in Form b If "Yes," list the ten highest paid compensated at least \$5,000 by	l individuals or er	ntities (fun		•	. -	Yes No e fundraiser is to be			
(i) Name and address of individual or entity (fundraiser)	(II) Activity		ndraiser have or control of butions?	(iv) Gross receipts from activity	(v) Amount paid to (or retained by) fundraiser listed in col (i)	(vi) Amount paid to (or retained by) organization			
	 	Yes	No	 					
1 CAMPAIGN SOLUTIONS	1) i					
117 N ST ASAPH ST ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	INTERNET/E-MAIL	X		556,177	45,520	510,657			
2 NATIONAL CAPITAL STRATEGIES									
8913 EARLY ST MANASSAS, VA 20110	FUNDRAISING PLAN	NING	X	0	16,765	(16,765)			
3 DEBBIE LEHARDY AND CO	1	ì	\		10 000	/10 000			
2440 N EDGEWOOD ST ARLINGTON, VA 22207	FUNDRAISING PLAN	NING	X	0	10,000	(10,000)			
4				. [
5					······································				
6									
-7 ·									
8									
9									
10									
Total			▶ 5	56,177.00	72,285.00	483,892.00			
3 List all states in which the orga	nization is regis	tered or li	censed to s	solicit contribution	s or has been notifie	ed it is exempt from			
registration or licensing									
NONE	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				***************************************				
-									

T	-		(a) Event #1	(b) Event #2	(c) Other events	(d) Total events
			(event type)	(event type)	(total number)	(add col (a) through col (c))
Prevenue	1	Gross receipts				
-	2	Less Contributions	İ			
	3	Gross income (line 1 minus line 2)				·
	4	Cash prizes				
	5	Noncash prizes				
3	6	Rent/facility costs				
	7	Food and beverages		· .		
בווכנו דילופוופנו	8	Entertainment				
	9	Other direct expenses				
1	0	Direct expense summary Ad	ld lines 4 through 9 in co	olumn (d)		
						
	11	Net income summary Comb Gaming. Complete if the	organization answer		<u> </u>	reported more
art	11	Net income summary Comb	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a	ed "Yes" to Form 990	D, Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add
art	11	Net income summary Comb Gaming. Complete if the	organization answer	ed "Yes" to Form 990	<u> </u>	·
art	11	Net income summary Comb Gaming. Complete if the	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a	ed "Yes" to Form 990	D, Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add
art	11	Net income summary Comb Gaming. Complete if the than \$15,000 on Form 9	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a	ed "Yes" to Form 990	D, Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add
art	1	Net income summary Comb Gaming. Complete if the than \$15,000 on Form 9 Gross revenue	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a	ed "Yes" to Form 990	D, Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add
art	1 2	Net income summary Comb Gaming. Complete if the than \$15,000 on Form 9 Gross revenue Cash prizes	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a	ed "Yes" to Form 990	D, Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add
art	1 2	Net income summary Comb Gaming. Complete if the than \$15,000 on Form 9 Gross revenue Cash prizes Noncash prizes	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a	ed "Yes" to Form 990	D, Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add
art	1 2 3 4	Net income summary Comb Gaming. Complete if the than \$15,000 on Form 9 Gross revenue Cash prizes Noncash prizes Rent/facility costs	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a	ed "Yes" to Form 990	D. Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add col (a) through col (c))
art	1 2 3 4 5	Ret income summary Comb Gaming. Complete if the than \$15,000 on Form 9 Gross revenue Cash prizes Noncash prizes Rent/facility costs Other direct expenses	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a (a) Bingo	ed "Yes" to Form 99((b) Pull tabs/instant bingo/progressive bingo Yes % No	C, Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add col (a) through col (c))
1	1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Gross revenue Cash prizes Noncash prizes Rent/facility costs Other direct expenses Volunteer labor Direct expense summary Additional summary	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a (a) Bingo Yes % No	ed "Yes" to Form 99((b) Pull tabe/instant bingo/progressive bingo Yes % No	C, Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add col (a) through col (c))
Part Experience	1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Gross revenue Cash prizes Noncash prizes Rent/facility costs Other direct expenses Volunteer labor Direct expense summary Advised in the prize summary and the prize summa	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a (a) Bingo Yes % No Id lines 2 through 5 in column	ed "Yes" to Form 99((b) Pull tabe/instant bingo/progressive bingo Yes % No Plumn (d)	C, Part IV, line 19, or	(d) Total gaming (add col (a) through col (c))
9 a	1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Entited to 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Gross revenue Gash prizes Noncash prizes Rent/facility costs Other direct expenses Volunteer labor Direct expense summary Adventured to reganization licensed to optimize the state(s) in which the organization licensed to optimize the state(s) in which the optimized the state(s) in which	Yes % No d lines 2 through 5 in congrate gaming activities	ed "Yes" to Form 99((b) Pull tabs/instant bingo/progressive bingo Yes % No Plumn (d) In d, and line 7 ming activities in each of these states	C, Part IV, line 19, or (c) Other gaming Yes% No	(d) Total gaming (add col (a) through col (c))
Part Phone P	1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Entited to 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Gross revenue Gash prizes Noncash prizes Rent/facility costs Other direct expenses Volunteer labor Direct expense summary Adventured to reganization licensed to optimize the state(s) in which the organization licensed to optimize the state(s) in which the optimized the state(s) in which	e organization answer 90-EZ, line 6a (a) Bingo Yes % No Id lines 2 through 5 in control (c) Combine line 1, column (ganization operates gain	ed "Yes" to Form 99((b) Pull tabs/instant bingo/progressive bingo Yes % No Plumn (d) In d, and line 7 ming activities in each of these states	C, Part IV, line 19, or (c) Other gaming Yes% No	(d) Total gaming (add col (a) through col (c))

Schedule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2012

SCHEDULE O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ

OMB No 1545-0047

2012

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information.

▶ Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ.

Open to Public Inspection

SPECIAL OPERATIONS OPSEC EDUCATION FUND, INC	45-5552554
PART VI -LINE 11b -THIS FORM 990 HAS BEEN COMPARED TO	THE FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS BY THE ORGANIZATION'S FINANCIAL MANAGER AN	D REVIEWED BY THE
ORGANIZATION'S OFFICERS PRIOR TO SUBMISSION.	
PART VI- LINE 19 -NO DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLI	
	·
	·
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
***************************************	
***************************************	
·	

(Rev January 2013)

## Application for Extension of Time To File an Exempt Organization Return

OMB No 1545-1709

	ent of the f levenue Se		► File a sepa	arate applic	ation for each return	•	- 1		
• If you are filing for an Automatic 3-Month Extension, complete only Part I and check this box									
• If you are filing for an Additional (Not Automatic) 3-Month Extension, complete only Part II (on page 2 of this form)									
Do not complete Part II unless you have already been granted an automatic 3-month extension on a previously filed Form 8868.									
Electric	i- Eli	I- fil	e). You can electronically file Form	0050 4 40	u nood a 3-month a	idomatic autonolon of	tima	to file /F	months for
			to file Form 990-T), or an additiona						
			tension of time to file any of the fo						
Return	for Tra	ansfers	Associated With Certain Personal	Benefit C	Contracts, which mu	ust be sent to the IR	lS in	paper fo	format (see
ınstruc	tions). F	or more	details on the electronic filing of th	is form, vis	sit www.irs.gov/efile	and click on e-file for (	Chan	ties & No	onprofits.
Part	A	utomat	ic 3-Month Extension of Time	Only sub	omit original (no co	pies needed).			
			d to file Form 990-T and reques				s box	c and co	omplete
-		•							·►□
All othe	er com	rations	(including 1120-C filers), partnershi	ps, REMIC	s, and trusts must d	use Form 7004 to requ	jest a	an extens	sion of time
	•	tax retur	•	•	•	•			
			•			Enter filer's identifying	a nun	iber, see	instructions
<del></del>	1	Name of	exempt organization or other filer, see in	structions.	Employer identification number				
Type o		PECTA	L OPERATIONS OPSEC EDUCA			45-5552554			
•	Ti-		street, and room or suite no If a P O. bo			Social secunty number (SSN)			
Fite by the	ne i	•	ING STREET STE 400	,			DEI (0014)		
filing you			or post office, state, and ZIP code. For	a foreign a	ddress, see instruction	s.			<del></del>
return S	ee  _	-	NDRIA, VA 22314			· .	٠.		
instruction	015 12	ייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	NDICITION VIT 22314						
Enter t	he Retu	m code	for the return that this application is	s for (file a	separate application	n for each return)			01
Appli	cation			Return	Application	<del></del>			Return
Is For				Code	is For				Code
		Form 99	0.57	01				07	
	990-BL		0-62	02				08	
			<u> </u>	03				09	
	990-PF	ndividua	)	03	Form 4720			+	
			(a) as 400(a) to (at)	05	Form 5227 10			<del></del>	
Form 990-T (sec. 401(a) or 408(a) trust) Form 990-T (trust other than above)				Form 6069			11		
Form	990-1 (	trust otn	er trian above)	06	Form 8870	<del></del>			12
		!_ Al		π		•			
• ine c	oqoks a	re in the	care of ► SUSAN ARCENEA	<u> </u>					
T-1	- b A1	/'	2031.4009007	_	AV No. N				
			703) 409-8007		AX No. ▶				
• If the organization does not have an office or place of business in the United States, check this box									
• If this is for a Group Return, enter the organization's four digit Group Exemption Number (GEN) . If this is for the whole group, check this box ▶ □ and attach									
			<del></del>	-	t of the group, chec	K this box	▶ [	] and att	tacn
			nd EINs of all members the extensi		·	200 7			
			tomatic 3-month (6 months for a co						
until AUGUST 15, 20 13, to file the exempt organization return for the organization named above. The extension is									
	for the organization's return for:								
	► X ca	alendar y	rear 20 <u>12</u> or						
	<u> </u>								
	▶ ☐ tax year beginning, 20, and ending, 20								
	2 If the tax year entered in line 1 is for less than 12 months, check reason. ☐ Initial return ☐ Final return								
Change in accounting period  3a If this application is for Form 990-BL, 990-PF, 990-T, 4720, or 6069, enter the tentative tax, less any									
				)-T, 4720, «	or 6069, enter the te	entative tax, less any	ĺ		
			credits. See instructions.			·	3a	\$	
b If this application is for Form 990-PF, 990-T, 4720, or 6069, enter any refundable credits and									
			ayments made. Include any prior y		·		3b	\$	
			subtract line 3b from line 3a. Include			if required, by using	i		
	EFTPS (Electronic Federal Tax Payment System). See instructions.  Caution. If you are going to make an electronic fund withdrawal with this Form 8868, see Form 8453-EO and Form 8879-EO for payment instructions.								
					rm 8868, see Form 84	53-EO and Form 8879-E0			
For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see instructions. Form 8868 (Rev 1-2013)									

• If you are filing for an Additional (Not Automatic) 3-Month Extension, complete only Part II and check this box

• If you are filing for an Automatic 3-Month Extension, complete only Part I (on page 1)

Note. Only complete Part II if you have already been granted an automatic 3-month extension on a previously filed Form 8868.

#### Signature and Verification must be completed for Part II only.

If this application is for Form 990-BL, 990-PF, 990-T, 4720, or 6069, enter the tentative tax, less any

If this application is for Form 990-PF, 990-T, 4720, or 6069, enter any refundable credits and estimated tax payments made. Include any prior year overpayment allowed as a credit and any

Balance due. Subtract line 8b from line 8a Include your payment with this form, if required, by using EFTPS

Under penalties of penjury, I declare that I have examined this form, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete, and that I am authorized to prepare this form

Sionature ▶

Form 8868 (Rev 1-2013)

Title ▶

Form 8868 (Rev. 1-2013

0.00

8a

8b

Page 2

**▶** [X]

nonrefundable credits. See instructions

amount paid previously with Form 8868.

(Electronic Federal Tax Payment System) See instructions.

Form **8871**(Rev. July 2003)
Department of the Treasury

## Political Organization Notice of Section 527 Status

OMB No. 1545-1693

Internal Revenue Service	
Part I General Information	
1 Name of organization	Employer identification number
Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee	46 - 0725135
2 Mailing address (P.O. box or number, street, and r	oom or suite number)
901 King St Suite 400	·
City or town, state, and ZIP code	
Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
3 Check applicable box: Initial notice	✓ Amended notice Final notice
4a Date established	4b Date of material change
08/06/2012	08/06/2012
5 E-mail address of organization	**************************************
no@email	•
6a Name of custodian of records	6b Custodian's address
James M Williamson	901 King St Suite 400
•	Alexandria, VA 22314 -
7a Name of contact person	7b Contact person's address
James M Williamson	901 King St Suite 400
	Alexandria, VA 22314 -
8 Business address of organization (if different from	m mailing address shown above). Number, street, and room or suite number
901 King St Suite 400	
City or town, state, and ZIP code	
Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
9a Election authority	9b Election authority Identification number
NONE	

### Part II Notification of Claim of Exemption From Filing Certain Forms (see instructions)

10a Is this organization claiming exemption from filing Form 8872, Political Organization Report of Contributions and Expenditures, as a qualified state or local political organization? Yes _ No ✓

- 10b If 'Yes,' list the state where the organization files reports:
- 11 Is this organization claiming exemption from filing Form 990 (or 990-EZ), Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax, as a caucus or associations of state or local officials? Yes __ No _/

Part III Purpose

12 Describe the purpose of the organization

Political committee to educate the public on national security issues.

4a Name of related entity	14b Relationship	14c Address	
Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund	Connected	901 King Street Suite 400	
		Alexandria, VA 22314 - 3055	
Part V List of All Officers, D	irectors, and Highly	Compensated Employees (see instructions)	
15a Name	15b Title	15c Address	
oe Hough	Secretary	901 King St Suite 400	
		Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
rederick W. Rustmann Jr	President	901 King St Suite 400	,
		Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
ames M Williamson	Treasurer	901 King St Suite 400	
		Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
Internal Revenue Code, and the and belief, it is true, correct, an	at I have examined this notice	ned in Part I is to be treated as a tax-exempt organization described in sect , including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of r hat I am the official authorized to sign this report, and I am signing by ente	my knowl
below.	•		

#### FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

#### **FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**

1 2

RESPONDENTS:

Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund

MUR: 7084

Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee

4 5 6

#### I. INTRODUCTION

7 8

14

21

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by

- 9 Lisa Clarkson. The Complaint alleges that two non-profit entities, Special Operations OPSEC
- 10 Education Fund and Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee, violated several provisions
- of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission
- regulations. For the reasons set forth below, the Commission dismisses the allegations.

#### 13 II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Virginia's Second District in 2010 and 2016. Taylor was also the chairman of a 501(c)(4)
organization, Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund ("Education Fund"). The Education
Fund was formed by former U.S. military special operations veterans to express concern about
the Obama administration's alleged leaks for political purposes of sensitive information
regarding special operations. In 2012, the Education Fund reported spending approximately
\$500,000 for electioneering communications criticizing President Obama. A related — but now

Scott Taylor was a Member of the Virginia House of Delegates, and ran for Congress in

defunct — entity, OPSEC Political Committee, reported making \$63,350 in independent

Taylor lost the Republican Primary in 2010, and won election to Congress in 2016.

Taylor is listed as the person exercising control over the Education Fund in the group's electioneering communication filings in 2012 and 2013. The group has interchangeably referred to Taylor as both president and chairman in public communications, most recently in a March 4, 2016, Facebook post listing Taylor as OPSEC's chairman. At some point after March 4, 2016, but before July 6, 2016, Jamie Williamson appears to have succeeded Taylor as president, though it is unclear if Taylor continues to serve in a leadership position or otherwise exercises control over the Education Fund. See Kristina Wong, "Ex-special ops group blasts Clinton email decision," THE HILL, http://thehill.com/policy/defense/286711-group-representing-former-special-operators-blasts-clinton-email-decision (July 6, 2016). The group's website does not list its leadership or organizational structure.

³ See http://www.opsecteam.org/background.html.

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 9

- 1 expenditures opposing President Obama's 2012 re-election. Neither OPSEC group reported
- 2 electioneering communications or independent expenditures in 2016.
- 3 The Complaint alleges that the Education Fund failed to properly file electioneering
- 4 reports for the period between October 16, 2012, and November 4, 2012, and the OPSEC
- 5 Political Committee failed to file complete and timely disclosure reports.⁴ It further alleges that
- 6 both OPSEC entities failed to register as political committees and file required disclosure
- 7 reports.⁵

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

#### III. ANALYSIS

#### A. Untimely 2012 Disclosure Reports by the OPSEC Entities

Every person that makes disbursements for electioneering communications aggregating in excess of \$10,000 during a calendar year must file a disclosure statement with the Commission.⁶ These statements must disclose the identities of any person who shared or exercised control in making the disbursement.⁷ Additionally, every entity that is not a political committee and that makes independent expenditures aggregating in excess of \$250 with respect to a given election in a calendar year must file reports of independent expenditures with the

Commission.⁸ These reports must identify donors who have contributed in excess of \$200 for

Compl. at III (June 14, 2016) (citing Commission requests to amend reports).

^{5 ·} *Id*.

⁶ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f); 11 C.F.R. § 104.20(b).

⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f)(2)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.20(c)(2).

⁸ 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(b).

16

17

18

19

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 9

- the purpose of furthering the reported expenditure(s). Political committees must file regular
- 2 reports of their receipts and disbursements. 10
- Both OPSEC entities respond that they timely amended their 2012 filings. 11 During the
- 4 2012 cycle, the Education Fund filed 24-hour electioneering communications reports, but six
- 5 reports failed to disclose the person exercising control over the communications. The
- 6 Commission's Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") issued six Requests for Additional
- 7 Information ("RFAIs") requesting that information, and the Education Fund timely amended the
- 8 statements to show that Taylor exercised control over the communications. Likewise, OPSEC
- 9 Political Committee received several letters from RAD citing reporting issues, including two
- 10 notices of failure to file required quarterly reports, as well as three RFAIs concerning the use of
- 11 an incorrect form and failure to disclosure donor information. OPSEC Political Committee
- adequately responded to the issues and filed the missing quarterly reports. Both OPSEC entities
- corrected the errors shortly after receipt of RFAIs, and these allegations do not merit further
- 14 enforcement action. Thus, Commission dismisses these allegations. 12

#### B. Political Committee Status and Reporting Obligations

The Complaint broadly asserts that the OPSEC entities "failed to comply with the reporting requirements for receipts and disbursements of political committees." ¹³

In response, the Education Fund states that it was not a political committee during the relevant period and did not engage in activity that had to be reported to the Commission.¹⁴

⁹ Id.; 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(e)(1)(vi).

¹⁰ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(b).

OPSEC Fund Resp. at 1-2 (Aug. 1, 2016); OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1 (Aug. 1, 2016).

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985); see generally MUR 5746 (Robinson for Congress, et al.) (dismissing reporting violation after the committee properly responded to RFAIs).

Compl. at III.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 1 Instead, it states that it is a 501(c)(4) organization, and its "primary purpose" is not political
- 2 activity. The Education Fund admits that it solicited donations for Taylor on its Facebook page,
- 3 but states that it "removed those posts from its page and at no time did it engage in any other
- 4 solicitations on behalf of Scott Taylor for Congress." OPSEC Political Committee states that it
- 5 did not qualify as a political committee. 16 It further states that it terminated its existence with the
- 6 IRS on February 28, 2013.

#### 1. The Test for Political Committee Status

The Act and Commission regulations define a "political committee" as "any committee, club, association or other group of persons which receives contributions aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year or which makes expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year." In *Buckley v. Valeo*, the Supreme Court held that defining political committee status "only in terms of the annual amount of 'contributions' and 'expenditures'" might be overbroad, reaching "groups engaged purely in issue discussion." To cure that infirmity, the Court concluded that the term "political committee" "need only encompass organizations that are under the control of a candidate or the *major purpose of which is the nomination or election of a candidate*." Accordingly, under the statute as thus construed, an

⁴ OPSEC Fund Resp. at 2.

¹⁵ Id. The Complaint further states that these Facebook posts constitute fraudulent solicitations. Suppl. Comp. at IV. This allegation is thinly plead and is not supported by the record.

OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1.

⁵² U.S.C. § 30101(4)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.5. The Act defines "contribution" to include "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.52. Likewise, "expenditure" includes "any payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money or anything of value, made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(9)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.111.

Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 79 (1976).

¹⁹ Id. (emphasis added).

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 9

- organization that is not controlled by a candidate must register as a political committee only if
- 2 (1) it crosses the \$1,000 threshold; and (2) it has as its "major purpose" the nomination or
- 3 election of federal candidates.²⁰

#### 2. The Education Fund

The OPSEC Education Fund is a 501(c)(4) organization that began filing electioneering communication reports with the Commission during the 2012 election cycle.²¹ Taylor was its chairman from 2012 to at least March 2016. The group's current website describes itself as "a non-partisan grassroots advocacy organization focused on protecting US Special Operations Forces and national intelligence assets and operatives from political exploitation and policies, and the misuse of classified information, that unnecessarily exposes them and their families to greater risk and reduces their effectiveness in keeping Americans safe."²²

The available record does not provide a basis to draw a reasonable inference that the Education Fund received contributions or made expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year. The Commission has no information indicating that the group received funds for the purpose of influencing an election when it began making electioneering

In examining the "major purpose" of an organization, the Commission takes a case-by-case approach that requires a fact-intensive analysis of the group's activities. See Supplemental E & J, 72 Fed. Reg. at 5601 (Feb. 7, 2007). The Commission has indicated that it will analyze two primary factors when examining a group's major purpose: (1) a group's spending, particularly whether its spending has become "extensive," and (2) a group's stated purpose, as indicated through its public statements and internal documents and communications. See FEC v. MCFL, 479 U.S. 238, 262-264 (1986); FEC v. GOP AC, Inc., 917 F. Supp. 851, 859 (D.D.C. 1996).

The Education Fund has not reported electioneering communications since that time.

See http://www.opsecteam.org/mission.html (last visited March 14, 2016).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

election.

- 1 communications in 2012. Similarly, the Commission does not have probative information
- 2 indicating that the group received more than \$1,000 in contributions in 2016.²³
- The Commission also does not have information showing that the Education Fund made
- 4 more than \$1,000 in expenditures during any calendar year. In 2012, the group filed reports of
- 5 several electioneering communications representing costs of approximately \$500,000.²⁴ Those
- 6 communications, however, do not appear to contain express advocacy, and therefore do not
- 7 constitute "expenditures" under the Act or regulations.²⁵

Nor does the group's more recent activity indicate that it made more than \$1,000 in expenditures in 2016, or any other year. While the filings in this matter contain little information about the Education Fund's later disbursements, our review found a few activities that at first seem as if they might be expenditures. On closer examination, however, these activities do not indicate that the Education Fund spent more than \$1,000 for the purpose of influencing a federal

• First, the group produced a 29-second ad titled "We Get Angry" in September 2015 that Taylor narrates, which criticizes Clinton by stating "Our friends pay the

The group's website did solicit funds to "definitely stop Hillary Clinton" at some point in 2016, when she was no longer Secretary of State but was a federal candidate. This solicitation suggests that the group may have received some contributions in 2016, but we have not been able to confirm that it did, or that such contributions exceeded \$1,000.

That solicitation has since been removed from the group's website. Given that Taylor was succeeded as Chairman at some point between March and July 2016, it is unclear whether Taylor exercised control over the Education Fund during the time that it solicited funds to "definitively stop Hillary Clinton." See supra, note 2.

See Electioneering Communication reports for Committee ID C30002042; Attach. 1 at 1, Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund, Inc. IRS Form 990 (2012).

The Office of the General Counsel's review of the Fund's electioneering communications found that they do not constitute express advocacy under 11 C.F.R. § 100.22. See OPSEC Education Fund, "Bump in the Road," available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RkIO7mNwi4o (discussing President Obama's handling of Benghazi and stating "there is nothing acceptable about playing politics with national security" while showing photos of Obama, but not expressly urging the defeat of Obama or otherwise referencing the election). See also Final Rule on Electioneering Communications Explanation & Justification, 72 Fed. Reg. 72,899, 72,908 (Dec. 26, 2007) (noting that criticizing a candidate's past record does not constitute taking a position on that candidate's character, qualifications, or fitness when in the context of a broader issue-based discussion).

1 2

3

5

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

price when politicians like Hillary Clinton compromise secret information over email." The ad does not contain express advocacy. 26

- Second, the group produced a five-minute video criticizing Hillary Clinton called "The Truth About Benghazi," which was shown at the Republican National Convention. That video also does not contain express advocacy.²⁷
- Finally, in late 2016, the group began to create and broadcast a web-hosted radio program that included one episode in which the host expressly advocated Hillary Clinton's defeat in the upcoming election. The Office of the General Counsel does not know the costs associated with the show, but believes they were likely under \$1,000 because they have not identified any staff for the show other than its hosts, and the show was a webcast that did not appear to be professionally designed.

The video did not mention Clinton's candidacy, or contain express advocacy. See Electioneering Communication E&J, 72 Fed. Reg. at 72,908.

[&]quot;New OPSEC Ad: We Get Angry," available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4pKbnoJSNKM (published Sept. 10, 2015, last visited January 25, 2017). The Commission does not have information regarding whether the Fund ran the ad beyond the group's YouTube and Facebook pages.

See http://www.opsecteam.org/conv/ (last visited March 14, 2017). The video criticized Hillary Clinton's performance as the former Secretary of State by:

[·] Featuring a clip of Clinton repeatedly stating "we didn't lose a single person" in Libya;

[•] Noting that on the day before the attack in Benghazi, President Obama met with Clinton regarding changes that might be needed for the anniversary of 9/11 and "not a single change was made that might have helped those in the high-risk area of Benghazi";

Stating that "Hillary Clinton's State Department" requested that marines not carry weapons "in a warzone where Americans were under fire, because they didn't want it to look like an invasion, because they didn't want to offend anybody. Really?";

[•] Stating that Clinton privately called the attack a terrorist attack while publicly presenting it at as a spontaneous protest.

See http://americaoutloud.com/show/opsecradio/ (last visited Jan. 25, 2017). The group first posted a link to the radio program on its Facebook account on Dec. 4, 2016. Jamie Williamson, OPSEC's co-founder, is identified as the radio show's primary host. The individual programs are not dated, but some of the episodes appear to have been posted in the days or weeks before the November 2016 election. See, e.g., "Wake Up America!," http://americaoutloud.com/wake-up-america/ (last visited Jan. 25, 2017) (Williamson stating that "Hillary Clinton is the most morally bankrupt, corrupt candidate to run for office in my lifetime of 56 years" and acknowledging the coming election, stating that as a 501(c)(4), "We cannot advocate the election of or the defeat of a particular candidate, which I won't do, but I damn well am gonna educate my listening audience," and "Hillary Clinton is an un-indicted co-conspirator and a criminal of the highest order who's put the national security of the United States at risk, and is directly or indirectly responsible for the deaths of a U.S. ambassador and three other Americans. So think before you go to the polls. Is this the commander in chief that you want for the next four years?"). See 11 C.F.R. § 100.22(b).

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8 of 9

- 1 Thus, it is unclear whether the Education Fund met either the contribution or expenditure
- 2 threshold under the Act. In the past, the Commission has opened investigations to determine if a
- 3 group had passed the statutory threshold, but those cases involved much more significant
- 4 electoral activity than in the current matter.²⁹ Accordingly, the Commission dismisses the
- 5 allegation that the Education Fund failed to register and report as a political committee.

#### 3. OPSEC Political Committee

The OPSEC Political Committee was a Section 527 organization established in 2012.³⁰ It filed regular disclosure reports with the IRS until its termination in 2013. Though it shared the same address and appeared to be closely related to the Education Fund, it was a separate legal entity. In 2012, it spent \$63,350 on independent expenditures opposing Barack Obama's reelection.³¹ Publicly available tax forms show that the group reported a total of \$136,821 in expenditures in 2012. Taylor's precise role with the Political Committee is unclear, and he was not a candidate for federal office during the 2012 election cycle.

OPSEC Political Committee's independent expenditures satisfy the threshold spending requirement to qualify as a political committee. ³² Additionally, the organization named itself "Special Operations OPSEC *Political Committee*" (emphasis added), which suggests that it

See MUR 5511/5525 (Swift Boat Vets, et al.) (Commission found it appropriate to investigate whether a group raised or spent \$1,000 for the purpose of influencing a federal election where the group's statement and activities were exclusively geared toward criticizing a presidential candidate and publicly available information showed the group raised \$20 million overall and spent \$18 million); MUR 5541 (The November Fund) (same, where group was heavily critical of a presidential candidate and OGC knew of a \$200,000 vendor payment for internet advertising); MUR 5487 (Progress for America Voter Fund) (same, where available information indicated that the group's purpose was to influence the 2004 election, and the group apparently raised and spent millions of dollars in furtherance of that objective, with solicitations expressly mentioning swing states).

OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1.

See OPSEC Political Committee 2012 Year-End Report; 2012 October Report.

³² 52 U.S.C. § 30101(17); 11 CFR § 100.113.

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9 of 9

- publicly represented itself as a political committee.³³ The organization's name, coupled with its
- 2 record of funding independent expenditures, provides a reasonable basis on which to infer that
- 3 the group may have adopted a major purpose of influencing federal elections. However, the
- 4 Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses this matter. The Political
- 5 Committee is defunct, and further action would likely not be an efficient use of the
- 6 Commission's resources. The group has not funded independent expenditures since 2012, it
- 7 terminated with the IRS in 2013, and it filed its last report with the Commission a
- 8 miscellaneous report responding to earlier RFAIs on July 1, 2013. Thus, its last activities
- 9 appear to have predated the complaints by three years. The Commission has previously decided
- 10 to take no further action on political committee allegations where the entity was essentially
- defunct, with minimal or no assets, and had been inactive for several years with little prospect of
- 12 resuming activity.³⁴ Accordingly, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and
- dismisses this allegation.³⁵

The organization's stated purpose on its IRS Form 8871 is nonpartisan, and the Response makes a broad assertion that "at no time did the Committee qualify as a political committee under the Act." See Attach. 2 at 2, Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee IRS Form 8871 (2012) (describing the entity as a "[p]olitical committee to educate the public on national security issues."). However, "[a] declaration by the organization that they are not [organized] for an electioneering purpose is not dispositive" in analyzing that organization's major purpose. See Real Truth About Obama v. FEC, 2008 WL 4416282, at *14 (E.D. Va. Sept. 24, 2008).

See Factual & Legal Analysis at 2, MUR 6021 (The Ballot Project); see also MUR 5534 (Business Alaska). Additionally, though not dispositive, OPSEC Political Committee filed independent expenditure reports with the Commission and itemized approximately \$43,000 in receipts. See Miscellaneous Report (Dec. 19, 2012). It also filed regular IRS reports and disclosed \$64,990 in itemized donations on IRS forms filed over the course of 2012. Thus, while the organization may have been required to make all filings required of a political committee, it did make some public disclosures, which partly mitigates disclosure concerns.

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985).

### FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1		FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANA	LYSIS
2	RESPONDENTS:	Stephen Baggs	MUR: 7084
4	RESI ONDENTS.	Systems Technology Forum, Ltd.	WOR. 7004
5		Thomas Bates	
6		RK Chevrolet, Buick, Subaru, Inc.	
7		Darek Dabbs	
8		Sera-Brynn LLC	
9		Eric Kimble	
10		Kimble Companies/Penn-Ohio Coal Co	).
l 1		Ronald Kramer	•
12		Kramer Management Enterprises, Inc.	
13		Shawn Kuhle	
14		Turner Strategic Technologies	
15		Tactical Defense Solutions LLC	
16		William W. Lee, Jr.	
17		National Research Group, LLC	
18		Bob Miller	
19		Miller-Stephenson & Associates, P.C.	
20		David H. Mutzabaugh	
21	•	ThunderCat Technology, LLC	
22		Richard D. Roberts	•
23		Norfolk Southern Corporation	
24		Eric Sisco	
25		Virginia International Gateway, Inc.	•
26			
27	I. INTRODUC	CTION	
28 29	This matter	was generated by a Complaint filed with the	he Federal Election Commission by
30	Lisa Clarkson. The	Complaint alleges multiple federal contra	ctors violated the Federal Election
31	Campaign Act of 19	271, as amended (the "Act") and Commiss	ion regulations, by contributing to
32	Scott Taylor for Con	ngress (the "Committee"). For the reasons	s set forth below, the Commission
33	finds no reason to be	elieve that the alleged federal contractors	violated the Act or regulations.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MUR 7084 (Alleged Federal Contractors) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 2

#### II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

- 2 Scott Taylor was a Member of the Virginia House of Delegates, and ran for Congress in
- 3 Virginia's Second District in 2010 and 2016. The Complaint alleges multiple federal
- 4 contractors contributed to Taylor's congressional committee.²

5 Federal contractors may not make contributions to political committees, and a Committee

6 may not knowingly solicit donations from federal contractors.³ This prohibition does not apply

to individual employees of a federal contractor who are not themselves contractors.⁴ Employees

of federal contractors may contribute to federal political committees using personal funds.⁵

The Committee alleges that the contributors identified by the Complaint are employees of federal contractors, not contractors themselves, and may contribute. Nearly all of the named contributors submitted responses affirming that they are not contractors, and that the funds used were personal and not directed by a contractor firm. One contributor did not respond, but the Commission has no information indicating that he is a federal contractor. The Commission therefore finds no reason to believe that the individuals named in the Complaint are federal

¹⁵ contractors, and no reason to believe that they violated 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1).

Taylor lost the Republican Primary in 2010, and won election to Congress in 2016.

² Compl. at II (June 14, 2016).

³ 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1)-(2); 11 C.F.R. § 115.2.

⁴ 11 C.F.R. § 115.6.

Id. Additionally, if a sole proprietorship is a federal contractor, the owner of that entity may not donate to federal campaigns using business, personal or other funds. Id. § 115.5.

### FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

l				
2 3 4 5 6 7	RESPONDENTS: Scott Taylor MURs: 7078 and 7084 Scott Taylor for Congress John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer			
8 9	I. INTRODUCTION			
10	This matter was generated by Complaints filed with the Federal Election Commission by			
11	Lisa Clarkson. The Complaints allege that Scott Taylor, and Scott Taylor for Congress and John			
12	G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee") violated many provisions of the			
13	Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations.			
14	For the reasons set forth below, the Commission dismisses the allegations that Taylor and			
15	the Committee used non-federal funds to host its campaign website, and that Respondents failed			
16	to include disclaimers, timely file the Committee's Statement of Organization, report certain			
17	expenditures, and properly attribute an LLC contribution. The Commission finds no reason to			
18	believe that Respondents used non-federal funds to send a campaign email, or that they			
19	knowingly solicited contributions from federal contractors.			
20	II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND			
21	Scott Taylor was a Member of the Virginia House of Delegates, and ran for Congress in			
22	Virginia's Second District in 2010 and 2016. Taylor filed his 2016 Statement of Candidacy on			
23	February 4, 2016, and designated the Committee as his principal campaign committee. John G.			
24	Selph is the Committee's treasurer.			
25	The Complaints allege the following violations of the Act and regulations:			

Taylor lost the Republican Primary in 2010, and won election to Congress in 2016.

2
3
1

Taylor announced his 2016 federal candidacy using state legislative resources and failed to include a required disclaimer.²

5

The Committee filed a Statement of Organization on February 4, 2016 — later amended on February 23, 2016—but began soliciting funds as early as January 16, 2016, and triggered candidate status no later than January 20, 2016.³

6 7 8

The Committee failed to report expenditures for several public events promoted on Taylor's Facebook page.4

9 10 11

12

13

The Committee received unreported in-kind contributions from Taylor's state legislative campaign.⁵ From January through February 2016, the Committee's website used the same URL as Taylor's state legislative campaign, and the Committee reported no reimbursements to the state committee.

14 15 16

The Committee reported a \$1,000 contribution from an LLC with the note "attributed equally to owners," but did not disclose the owners of the LLC.6

17 18 19

The Committee solicited and accepted contributions from federal contractors.⁷

20 21

22

#### LEGAL ANALYSIS III.

A. Use of State Email and State Committee Website; Lack of Disclaimer

The Committee denies using state letterhead or an official email account.8 23

24 A federal candidate, or an entity directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained or controlled by or acting on behalf of a federal candidate, is prohibited from soliciting, 25 26 receiving, directing, transferring, or spending funds in connection with an election for federal

office that are not subject to the limits, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act.⁹ 27

² Compl. at ¶¶ 1-2 (June 1, 2016).

Id. at ¶¶ 3-5.

Id. at ¶¶ 6-7.

Id. at ¶ 8.

*Id*. at ¶ 9.

Supplemental Compl. at II (June 14, 2016).

Resp. at ¶ 1 (June 23, 2016).

⁵² U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 300.61.

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 7

- 1 Virginia law permits a state committee to accept unlimited direct contributions from any
- 2 individual, corporation, union, association, or partnership. ¹⁰ Therefore it is possible that Taylor's
- 3 Virginia state committee account contains funds not subject to the Act's limits and prohibitions.
- 4 Commission regulations prohibit the transfer of funds or assets from a candidate's nonfederal
- 5 campaign committee to his or her federal committee. 11 Political committees must include
- 6 disclaimers on emails containing substantially similar messages sent to more than 500
- 7 recipients. 12

8 Although the Committee's announcement email contains a header identifying Taylor as a

- 9 State Delegate, it does not appear to be on official state letterhead, and the announcement was
- sent from a private email account. The Commission therefore finds no reason to believe that
- Respondents used nonfederal funds to send the campaign announcement email.

12 Respondents admit, however, that Taylor's state committee incurred expenses for hosting

13 the federal Committee's website. 13 However, the value of those expenses appear to be de

14 minimis, and the Committee is no longer using the state committee's website. 14 Accordingly, the

See Virginia Department of Elections, Summary of Laws and Policies: Candidate Campaign Committees (Sept. 14, 2015) at 17; 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a), 30118(a).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 110.3(d); see also Transfers of Funds from State to Federal Campaigns, 57 Fed. Reg. 36,344 (Aug. 12, 1992) (Explanation and Justification).

¹² Id. § 110.11(a).

¹³ Resp. at ¶ 8.

A review of the website as of October 2016 reveals that the domain hosted by the state committee, http://scotttaylorforva.com/, is no longer available, and that the Committee website is now http://scotttaylor.us/. The new site includes a disclaimer stating that the website is paid for by the federal Committee.

9

11

12

13

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 7

- 1 Commission dismisses the allegation that the Committee used nonfederal funds, and cautions the
- 2 Committee to take steps to ensure compliance with the Act and regulations. 15
- Respondents also admit that the announcement email lacked a disclaimer, but claim that
- 4 the omission was inadvertent and quickly corrected. 16 It is likely that Taylor's campaign
- 5 announcement was sent to more than 500 recipients. However, because the Response represents
- 6 that the mistake was inadvertent and promptly corrected, the Commission exercises its
- 7 prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegation. 17

#### B. Failure to Timely File and Amend Statement of Organization

Respondents argue the Committee timely mailed the Statement of Organization, and

promptly amended it to include Taylor's name. 18 When an individual becomes a "candidate" 19

the Act requires the candidate to file a Statement of Candidacy designating a candidate's

principal campaign committee within 15 days, and requires the principal campaign committee to

file a Statement of Organization no later than ten days after the candidate's designation.²⁰

14 Although the Committee timely filed its Statement of Organization, it did not include

15 Taylor's name on the form. However, the Committee amended the statement within two days of

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985); MUR 6773 (Nestande, et al.) (dismissing use of nonfederal funds allegation because expenses were de minimis). A cautionary letter is appropriate because the Committee did not amend its reports, as it represented it would.

¹⁶ Resp. at ¶ 2.

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; see also MUR 6841 (Reid, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee failed to include the proper disclaimer with an email solicitation).

¹⁸ Resp. at ¶ 5.

¹⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2); 11 C.F.R. § 100.3.

²⁰ 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1); 52 U.S.C. § 30103(a); 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a); 11 C.F.R. § 102.1(a).

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 7

- receiving a Request for Additional Information from the Commission's Reports Analysis
- 2 Division. Accordingly, the Commission dismisses this allegation.²¹

#### C. Failure to Report Expenditures in Connection With Campaign Events

4 The Act and regulations require a committee to report its aggregate operating

5 expenditures.²² A committee must also report the full name and address of each vendor who

receives payment in excess of \$200 within an election cycle.²³ The Committee published several

7 invitations on Facebook to events at restaurants that promised food and drink, but its reports

8 disclose no corresponding expenditures. The Response states that these events were "informal

9 gatherings" that did not generate any expenses.²⁴ The record does not indicate what, if any,

expenses these events generated, and in any event, the amounts were likely small. Thus, the

Commission dismisses this allegation.²⁵

#### D. Incomplete Disclosure of an LLC Contribution

Contributions by an LLC that elects to be treated as a partnership by the Internal Revenue Service are treated as partnership contributions.²⁶ Partnership contributions, in turn, must be attributed to both the partnership and to each partner, either in direct proportion to the partner's

²¹ See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831.

²² 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(2)(i).

²³ 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(4)(i).

²⁴ Resp. at ¶ 7.

²⁵ See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; MUR 6536 (Gonzalez for Congress, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee failed to report disbursements in part because of the small amount at issue).

²⁶ 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2). The Commission's regulations do not require that a contribution from an LLC that is taxed as a corporation be attributed to the LLC's member or members, and such contributions are treated as corporate contributions under the Act. See id. § 110.1(g)(3).

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 7

- share of the entity's profits or by agreement among the partners.²⁷ Additionally, an LLC that
- 2 makes a contribution must affirm to the recipient committee that it is eligible to make such a
- 3 contribution, and must indicate how the contribution is to be attributed.²⁸
- 4 Respondents failed to report the attribution of a \$1,000 donation from Beachfront LLC
- 5 received on March 3, 2016. The Committee states that after receiving the Complaint, it
- 6 contacted the LLC but could not verify whether the entity was eligible to make the contribution,
- 7 so the Committee refunded it on June 22, 2016.²⁹ Thus, the Committee may have failed to
- 8 properly itemize the partners' contributions, or may have impermissibly accepted a corporate
- 9 contribution.³⁰ However, because the amount in violation is relatively small \$1,000 out of the
- 10 Committee's total receipts of approximately \$830,000 for the 2016 election cycle and the
- 11 Committee refunded the contribution, the Commission dismisses this allegation.³¹

²⁷ Id. § 110.1(e). If an individual partner's share of the contribution exceeds \$200 when combined with other contributions received from that partner in the same election cycle, the committee must disclose itemized information on the partner as a memo entry. Id.; 11 C.F.R § 104.8.

²⁸ *Id.* § 110.1(g).

²⁹ Resp. at ¶ 9.

See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e) (requiring attribution of partners); 11 C.F.R § 104.8 (requiring uniform reporting of receipts); 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) (prohibiting contributions from corporations).

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; MUR 6808 (Smith, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee received an impermissible \$1,000 corporate donation and noting the immediate return of the donation).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7 of 7

#### E. Contributions From Federal Contractors

Federal contractors may not make contributions to political committees, and a Committee may not knowingly solicit donations from federal contractors. This prohibition does not apply to individual employees of a federal contractor who are not themselves contractors. Employees of federal contractors may contribute to federal political committees using personal funds. Respondents state that the contributors identified by the Complaint are employees of federal contractors, not contractors themselves, and may contribute. Nearly all of the named contributors have affirmed that they are not contractors, and that the funds used were personal and not directed by a contractor firm. One contributor did not respond, but we have no information indicating that he is a federal contractor. The Commission therefore finds no reason to believe that the Committee accepted contributions from federal contractors.

³² 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1)-(2); 11 C.F.R. § 115.2.

³³ 11 C.F.R. § 115.6.

³⁴ *Id.* Additionally, if a sole proprietorship is a federal contractor, the owner of that entity may not donate to federal campaigns using business, personal or other funds. *Id.* § 115.5.

³⁵ Supplemental Resp. (July 15, 2015).